

Performance Attributions March 2017

Ossiam Research & Investment Team¹

April 4, 2017

¹Email:trading@ossiam.com

Contents

1 iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR	3
1.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	3
1.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	5
1.3 ITD: Period from 21-Jun-2011 to 31-Mar-2017	7
2 Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR	10
2.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	10
2.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	12
2.3 ITD: Period from 16-May-2011 to 31-Mar-2017	14
3 Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR	16
3.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	16
3.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	18
3.3 ITD: Period from 07-Jun-2011 to 31-Mar-2017	20
4 FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR	22
4.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	22
4.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	24
4.3 ITD: Period from 16-Dec-2011 to 31-Mar-2017	25
5 Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR	27
5.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	27
5.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	29
5.3 ITD: Period from 03-Feb-2012 to 31-Mar-2017	31
6 Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR	34
6.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	34
6.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	36
6.3 ITD: Period from 04-Sep-2012 to 31-Mar-2017	38
7 Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index	41
7.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	41
7.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	43
7.3 ITD: Period from 30-Dec-2014 to 31-Mar-2017	44
8 Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index	46
8.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	46
8.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	48
8.3 ITD: Period from 22-Jun-2015 to 31-Mar-2017	50
9 Japan Minimum Variance Index NR	52
9.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	52
9.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	54
9.3 ITD: Period from 21-Dec-2015 to 31-Mar-2017	55
10 US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR	57
10.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	57
10.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	59
10.3 ITD: Period from 14-Apr-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	61

11 iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index	64
11.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	64
11.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	67
11.3 ITD: Period from 07-Nov-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	69
12 Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index	72
12.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017	72
12.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	74
12.3 ITD: Period from 28-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017	76


Chapter 1

iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR (ISEMVT Index) versus its benchmark Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR (SXXR Index) over several periods of time: Both the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR and the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR are computed in EUR. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Stoxx/Datastream source.

1.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	2.32%	3.32%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.88%	7.87%
Vol. Reduction	25.31%	-
Max Drawdown	-0.99%	-1.12%
Sharpe Ratio	5.33	6.00
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.42%	0.38%
Correlation	0.89	-
Beta	0.66	-
Alpha	0.13%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	2.36%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 2C	2.37%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR is **2.32%** compared to **3.32%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.00%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **2.36%**

The EUR 2C share class of the ETF returned **2.37%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.15%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.17%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with 0.12% and Utilities with 0.09%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 4.76% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 12.42% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.59%
 - Utilities represented, on average, 9.38% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.80% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.04%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with -0.09% and Materials with -0.14%.

- Financials represented, on average, 14.48% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 22.24% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.25%
- Materials represented, on average, 19.32% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.08% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.37%



The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Utilities (0.12%) and HealthCare (0.09%)
The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in Industrials (-0.36%) and Financials (-0.31%)

The country Allocation contributes for **0.50%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.51%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SPAIN with 0.53% and UK with 0.40%.
 - SPAIN represented, on average, 12.83% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 5.04% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.93%
 - UK represented, on average, 10.89% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 28.34% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.23%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are ITALY with -0.17% and SWITZERLAND with -0.28%.
 - ITALY represented, on average, 0.00% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.68% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.81%
 - SWITZERLAND represented, on average, 32.84% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.13% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.50%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in DENMARK (0.09%) and NETHERLANDS (0.07%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in SWITZERLAND (-0.41%) and UK (-0.41%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ENDESA SA	0.08% (100%)	3.38% (100%)	9.63%	11.36% (2)	0.29%	Utilities	SPAIN
AKZO NOBEL NV	0.23% (100%)	1.15% (54%)	22.78%	47.09% (10)	0.14%	Materials	NETHERLANDS
ABERTIS INFSTS.SA	0.12% (100%)	1.83% (100%)	9.10%	12.40% (2)	0.14%	Industrials	SPAIN
AENA SHS	0.13% (100%)	1.69% (100%)	9.85%	8.84% (1)	0.13%	Industrials	SPAIN
SONOVA HOLDING AG	0.09% (100%)	2.82% (100%)	4.68%	9.76% (1)	0.12%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
BANCO SANTANDER SA	1.00% (100%)	0.00% (-)	11.47%	22.00% (8)	-0.11%	Financials	SPAIN
FINGERPRINT CARDS AB	0.02% (100%)	0.29% (100%)	-27.42%	119.00% (10)	-0.11%	Industrials	SWEDEN
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.09% (100%)	0.47% (54%)	7.29%	24.23% (9)	-0.12%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
GALENICA AG	0.06% (100%)	2.37% (100%)	-10.89%	27.92% (9)	-0.23%	C.Services	SWITZERLAND
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.10% (100%)	4.37% (100%)	-6.35%	23.15% (8)	-0.26%	Materials	UK

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

1.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	5.94%	6.14%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.69%	8.00%
Vol. Reduction	28.87%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.01%	-2.00%
Sharpe Ratio	4.64	3.42
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.52%	0.69%
Correlation	0.85	-
Beta	0.60	-
Alpha	2.26%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	5.87%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 2C	5.93%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR is **5.94%** compared to **6.14%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.20%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **5.87%**

The EUR 2C share class of the ETF returned **5.93%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.56%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.77%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Oil - Gas with 0.54% and Industrials with 0.12%.
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 0.81% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.11% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 9.14%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 19.95% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.41% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.10%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with -0.04% and HealthCare with -0.23%.



- Materials represented, on average, 18.59% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.19% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.27%
- HealthCare represented, on average, 7.13% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 12.23% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.50%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in HealthCare (0.34%) and Materials (0.26%)
The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in Industrials (-0.63%) and C.Goods (-0.36%)

The country Allocation contributes for **1.29%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.50%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SPAIN with 0.52% and UK with 0.39%.
 - SPAIN represented, on average, 11.43% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 4.91% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.61%
 - UK represented, on average, 11.59% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 28.59% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.89%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are FINLAND with -0.02% and GERMANY with -0.03%.
 - FINLAND represented, on average, 3.06% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 1.65% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.28%
 - GERMANY represented, on average, 10.19% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.63% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.90%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in UK (0.27%) and DENMARK (0.14%)
The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in SWITZERLAND (-0.52%) and SWEDEN (-0.42%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.10% (100%)	4.60% (100%)	9.59%	27.02% (9)	0.45%	Materials	UK
ENDESA SA	0.08% (100%)	3.21% (100%)	9.47%	12.06% (1)	0.36%	Utilities	SPAIN
SYNGENTA AG	0.47% (100%)	4.00% (100%)	9.99%	10.57% (1)	0.35%	Materials	SWITZERLAND
SONOVA HOLDING AG	0.08% (100%)	2.83% (100%)	12.71%	11.82% (1)	0.34%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
CLARIANT AG	0.06% (100%)	3.52% (100%)	10.32%	12.47% (1)	0.34%	Materials	SWITZERLAND

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
UNILEVER DR	0.84% (100%)	0.18% (23%)	20.04%	31.59% (10)	-0.15%	C.Goods	NETHERLANDS
SIEMENS AG	1.31% (100%)	0.00% (-)	13.26%	18.90% (5)	-0.16%	Industrials	GERMANY
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.04% (100%)	0.79% (83%)	13.71%	18.72% (5)	-0.21%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
BRITISH AMER.TOB.PLC.	1.37% (100%)	0.00% (-)	17.08%	14.43% (2)	-0.22%	C.Goods	UK
FINGERPRINT CARDS AB	0.02% (100%)	0.57% (100%)	-42.30%	80.32% (10)	-0.24%	Industrials	SWEDEN

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

1.3 ITD: Period from 21-Jun-2011 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	73.21%	65.83%
Ann. Performance	9.97%	9.14%
Ann. Volatility	12.13%	17.14%
Vol. Reduction	29.22%	-
Max Drawdown	-18.06%	-25.21%
Sharpe Ratio	0.82	0.53
Ann. Dividend Yield	2.82%	2.97%
Correlation	0.92	-
Beta	0.65	-
Ann Alpha	4.02%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	69.05%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 2C	53.39%	-



Performance statistics for the period 21-Jun-2011/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The inception date for the EUR 2C share class is 17-Sep-2012: data are then relative to the period 17-Sep-2012 / 31-Mar-2017. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 21-Jun-2011 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR is **73.21%** compared to **65.83%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **7.38%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **69.05%**

The EUR 2C share class of the ETF returned **53.39%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **13.81%** while the Stock Picking for **-6.41%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with 3.31% and Oil - Gas with 2.70%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 15.06% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 11.97% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 52.00%
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 3.35% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.76% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 38.74%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Goods with -0.05% and Utilities with -0.09%.

- C.Goods represented, on average, 16.72% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 17.98% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 40.26%
- Utilities represented, on average, 13.13% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.96% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 30.42%



The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Materials (4.37%) and HealthCare (4.14%)
The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in Industrials (-5.57%) and Financials (-3.88%)

The country Allocation contributes for **4.89%** while the Stock Picking for **2.51%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are NETHERLANDS with 1.55% and DENMARK with 1.54%.
 - NETHERLANDS represented, on average, 7.61% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 4.54% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 46.35%
 - DENMARK represented, on average, 4.42% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.24% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 64.90%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are ITALY with -0.90% and UK with -1.06%.
 - ITALY represented, on average, 3.17% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.65% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 51.83%
 - UK represented, on average, 28.90% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 32.11% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.25%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in SWITZERLAND (7.87%) and SPAIN (4.68%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in SWEDEN (-5.40%) and NETHERLANDS (-4.38%)



Excess Return over the period 21-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
GIVAUDAN SA	0.15% (100%)	2.29% (100%)	174.04%	18.56% (1)	3.14%	Materials	SWITZERLAND
SYNGENTA AG	0.43% (100%)	2.10% (88%)	106.62%	22.65% (3)	2.74%	Materials	SWITZERLAND
NEXT PLC.	0.15% (100%)	1.63% (79%)	142.67%	25.90% (5)	2.63%	C.Services	UK
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.10% (100%)	2.91% (99%)	57.76%	36.19% (9)	2.39%	Materials	UK
ACTELION LTD.	0.14% (100%)	1.23% (69%)	748.47%	29.67% (7)	1.94%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Best performers in 21-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
TOTAL SA	1.52% (100%)	0.00% (-)	69.58%	23.59% (3)	-1.05%	Oil - Gas	FRANCE
FINGERPRINT CARDS AB	0.04% (22%)	0.94% (18%)	2764.53%	84.39% (10)	-1.06%	Industrials	SWEDEN
GEMALTO	0.08% (100%)	1.69% (51%)	66.92%	30.36% (7)	-1.16%	Technology	NETHERLANDS
SAIPEM	0.08% (96%)	0.93% (36%)	-90.09%	47.90% (10)	-1.33%	Oil - Gas	ITALY
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	2.13% (100%)	0.27% (24%)	52.04%	23.09% (3)	-1.40%	Financials	UK

Worst performers in 21-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Chapter 2

Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR (SXXEWR Index) versus its benchmark Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR (SXXR Index) over several periods of time: Both the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR and the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR are computed in EUR. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Stoxx/Datastream source.

2.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	SXXEWR Index	SXXR Index
Performance	3.32%	3.32%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	8.15%	7.87%
Vol. Reduction	-3.58%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.31%	-1.12%
Sharpe Ratio	5.80	6.00
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.25%	0.38%
Correlation	0.97	-
Beta	1.01	-
Alpha	-0.02%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	3.33%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Stoxx **600** Equal Weight Index NR is **3.32%** compared to **3.32%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **0.00%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **3.33%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.13%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.16%**.



- The main contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with 0.08% and Oil - Gas with 0.04%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 7.52% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 12.42% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.59%
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 3.16% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 5.91% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.61%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -0.00% and C.Services with -0.06%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 3.37% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 3.95% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.19%
 - C.Services represented, on average, 12.78% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 6.87% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.07%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Services (0.12%) and HealthCare (0.11%)
The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in Financials (-0.28%) and Industrials (-0.21%)

The country Allocation contributes for **-0.01%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.02%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SWITZERLAND with 0.08% and ITALY with 0.08%.
 - SWITZERLAND represented, on average, 8.64% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 14.13% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.50%
 - ITALY represented, on average, 5.37% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 3.68% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.81%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are FRANCE with -0.04% and NORWAY with -0.05%.
 - FRANCE represented, on average, 13.76% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 15.65% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.31%
 - NORWAY represented, on average, 1.81% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 1.00% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 5.79%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in BELGIUM (0.07%) and GERMANY (0.05%)
The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in NETHERLANDS (-0.07%) and SPAIN (-0.06%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.


Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
NOVARTIS AG	2.31% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	-2.32%	11.32% (2)	0.05%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
GALAPAGOS	0.04% (100%)	0.21% (100%)	22.42%	19.41% (7)	0.03%	HealthCare	BELGIUM
UNIONE DI BANCHE ITALIAN	0.04% (100%)	0.21% (100%)	21.97%	31.90% (10)	0.03%	Financials	ITALY
AUSTRIAMICROSYSTEMS AG	0.04% (100%)	0.27% (100%)	16.00%	34.28% (10)	0.03%	Technology	SWITZERLAND
UNIPER SE	0.04% (100%)	0.20% (100%)	18.05%	23.58% (8)	0.03%	Utilities	GERMANY

Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
NESTLE AG	2.77% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	2.88%	6.85% (1)	-0.07%	C.Goods	SWITZERLAND
BRITISH AMER.TOB.PLC.	1.39% (100%)	0.18% (100%)	6.55%	13.93% (4)	-0.08%	C.Goods	UK
BNP PARIBAS	0.84% (100%)	0.15% (100%)	13.24%	24.39% (9)	-0.08%	Financials	FRANCE
BANCO SANTANDER SA	1.00% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	11.47%	22.00% (8)	-0.09%	Financials	SPAIN
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.09% (100%)	0.18% (100%)	7.29%	24.23% (9)	-0.13%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

	SXXEWR Index	SXXR Index
Performance	7.01%	6.14%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.99%	8.00%
Vol. Reduction	0.19%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.70%	-2.00%
Sharpe Ratio	3.95	3.42
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.38%	0.69%
Correlation	0.96	-
Beta	0.96	-
Alpha	1.10%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	7.03%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

2.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Stoxx **600** Equal Weight Index NR is **7.01%** compared to **6.14%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **0.87%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **7.03%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.00%** while the Stock Picking for **0.84%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Oil - Gas with 0.28% and Industrials with 0.15%.
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 3.26% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 6.11% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 9.14%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 21.44% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 14.41% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.10%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Goods with -0.14% and C.Services with -0.26%.
 - C.Goods represented, on average, 12.31% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 18.26% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.50%
 - C.Services represented, on average, 12.89% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 6.99% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.23%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Services (0.30%) and HealthCare (0.23%)

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in Industrials (-0.21%) and Utilities (-0.01%)


The country Allocation contributes for **-0.14%** while the Stock Picking for **0.98%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SWEDEN with 0.02% and FRANCE with 0.01%.
 - SWEDEN represented, on average, 7.41% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 4.71% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.82%

- FRANCE represented, on average, 13.76% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 15.66% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.69%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are NORWAY with -0.04% and SWITZERLAND with -0.05%.
- NORWAY represented, on average, 1.84% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 1.02% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.90%
- SWITZERLAND represented, on average, 8.55% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 14.11% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.90%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in UK (0.24%) and FRANCE (0.22%)

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in NETHERLANDS (-0.13%) and SPAIN (-0.06%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.


Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
AUSTRIAMICROSYSTEMS AG	0.04% (100%)	0.22% (100%)	87.62%	52.84% (10)	0.11%	Technology	SWITZERLAND
BP PLC.	1.33% (100%)	0.15% (100%)	-8.79%	18.16% (5)	0.11%	Oil - Gas	UK
UNIONE DI BANCHE ITALIAN	0.04% (100%)	0.21% (100%)	37.75%	51.07% (10)	0.05%	Financials	ITALY
IPSEN SA	0.04% (100%)	0.19% (100%)	36.48%	21.76% (7)	0.05%	HealthCare	FRANCE
GALAPAGOS	0.03% (100%)	0.19% (100%)	33.87%	22.89% (7)	0.05%	HealthCare	BELGIUM

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
BANCO SANTANDER SA	0.98% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	16.84%	22.40% (7)	-0.13%	Financials	SPAIN
NESTLE AG	2.76% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	5.34%	9.97% (1)	-0.13%	C.Goods	SWITZERLAND
SIEMENS AG	1.31% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	13.26%	18.90% (5)	-0.14%	Industrials	GERMANY
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PL.C.	1.37% (100%)	0.18% (100%)	17.08%	14.43% (2)	-0.19%	C.Goods	UK
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.04% (100%)	0.18% (100%)	13.71%	18.72% (5)	-0.24%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

	SXXEWR Index	SXXR Index
Performance	67.16%	60.35%
Ann. Performance	9.13%	8.36%
Ann. Volatility	17.66%	17.08%
Vol. Reduction	-3.40%	-
Max Drawdown	-25.70%	-25.21%
Sharpe Ratio	0.51	0.48
Ann. Dividend Yield	2.58%	2.99%
Correlation	0.98	-
Beta	1.02	-
Ann Alpha	0.63%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR 1C	66.15%	-



Performance statistics for the period 16-May-2011/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

2.3 ITD: Period from 16-May-2011 to 31-Mar-2017

For the period 16-May-2011 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR is **67.16%** compared to **60.35%** for the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **6.82%**

The EUR 1C share class of the ETF returned **66.15%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **1.33%** while the Stock Picking for **5.75%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Oil - Gas with 1.28% and Industrials with 0.97%.
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 4.70% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 7.78% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 35.05%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 21.00% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 12.93% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.80%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Goods with -1.62% and HealthCare with -1.64%.
 - C.Goods represented, on average, 12.49% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 17.97% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 41.40%
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 6.19% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 11.94% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 49.13%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in HealthCare (2.02%) and C.Goods (1.50%)


The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in Oil - Gas (-2.84%) and Telecom. (-0.28%)

The country Allocation contributes for **-2.58%** while the Stock Picking for **9.65%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are IRELAND with 0.85% and DENMARK with 0.48%.
 - IRELAND represented, on average, 1.36% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 0.72% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 143.07%
 - DENMARK represented, on average, 3.08% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 2.24% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 51.11%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are PORTUGAL with -0.63% and GREECE with -2.28%.
 - PORTUGAL represented, on average, 0.80% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 0.28% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 83.64%
 - GREECE represented, on average, 0.52% in the Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR compared to 0.10% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 149.12%

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a good stock picking in UK (5.84%) and FRANCE (1.31%)

The Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR has a bad stock picking in NETHERLANDS (-1.76%) and BELGIUM (-1.06%)



Excess Return over the period 16-May-2011 / 31-Mar-2017 for Stoxx 600 Equal Weight Index NR over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ANGLO AMERICAN PLC.	0.41% (100%)	0.16% (100%)	-50.87%	48.49% (10)	0.40%	Materials	UK
BARRATT DEVS.PLC.	0.06% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	449.71%	38.77% (9)	0.28%	C.Goods	UK
TAYLOR WIMPEY PLC.	0.07% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	479.50%	36.91% (9)	0.26%	C.Goods	UK
ASHTED GROUP PLC.	0.08% (85%)	0.17% (86%)	884.71%	39.04% (9)	0.25%	Industrials	UK
TELEPERFORMANCE	0.04% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	362.11%	27.71% (6)	0.25%	Industrials	FRANCE

Best performers in 16-May-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
VODAFONE GROUP PLC.	1.45% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	74.34%	22.02% (3)	-1.11%	Telecom.	UK
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PLC.	1.31% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	156.07%	18.60% (1)	-1.17%	C.Goods	UK
NOVARTIS AG	2.47% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	98.53%	16.89% (1)	-1.73%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.07% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	142.96%	18.45% (1)	-1.77%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
NESTLE AG	2.82% (100%)	0.17% (100%)	92.77%	14.37% (1)	-1.85%	C.Goods	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 16-May-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (SXXEWR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 3

Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR (OUMVNR Index) versus its benchmark S&P500 Index NR (SPTR500N Index) over several periods of time: Both the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR and the S&P500 Index NR are computed in USD. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using S&P/Datastream source.

3.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	OUMVNR Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	-0.01%	0.07%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.62%	8.09%
Vol. Reduction	30.51%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.36%	-2.19%
Sharpe Ratio	-0.16	0.01
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.13%	0.11%
Correlation	0.94	-
Beta	0.66	-
Alpha	-0.08%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	-0.71%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	-0.06%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD D	-0.06%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR is **-0.01%** compared to **0.07%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.08%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **-0.71%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **-0.06%**


The USD D share class of the ETF returned **-0.06%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.17%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.23%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with 0.26% and C.Discretionary with 0.12%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 6.95% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.66% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 2.87%
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 17.78% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 12.15% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 1.94%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Staples with -0.05% and IT with -0.28%.
 - C.Staples represented, on average, 19.06% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.38% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.47%

- IT represented, on average, 10.10% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.81% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 2.48%

The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Financials (0.11%) and HealthCare (0.10%)
The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Staples (-0.22%) and IT (-0.14%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
THE J M SMUCKER CO.	0.08% (100%)	2.39% (46%)	-7.51%	10.48% (2)	0.04%	C.Staples
ALTRIA GROUP INCO.	0.72% (100%)	1.89% (100%)	-3.90%	10.30% (2)	0.04%	C.Staples
COSTCO WHOLESALE CORP.	0.36% (100%)	1.56% (100%)	-5.36%	16.89% (6)	0.04%	C.Staples
CHEVRON CORP.	1.02% (100%)	0.83% (100%)	-4.56%	14.62% (5)	0.03%	Energy
THE KROGER CO.	0.14% (100%)	0.67% (100%)	-7.26%	22.38% (8)	0.03%	C.Staples


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
MICROSOFT CORP.	2.47% (100%)	0.49% (100%)	2.94%	10.28% (2)	-0.03%	IT
FACEBOOK INCO.	1.61% (100%)	0.36% (100%)	4.80%	8.09% (1)	-0.04%	IT
THE WALT DISNEY CO.	0.80% (100%)	3.49% (100%)	3.00%	7.32% (1)	-0.04%	C.Discretionary
CHIPOTLE MEXN.GRILL INCO	0.06% (100%)	2.26% (100%)	6.40%	20.33% (8)	-0.06%	C.Discretionary
APPLE INCO.	3.66% (100%)	0.85% (100%)	4.87%	12.61% (3)	-0.08%	IT

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

3.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	OUMVNR Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	5.50%	5.91%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.11%	6.54%
Vol. Reduction	21.97%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.36%	-2.19%
Sharpe Ratio	4.56	3.85
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.38%	0.37%
Correlation	0.86	-
Beta	0.67	-
Alpha	1.50%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	3.93%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	5.38%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD D	3.64%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR is **5.50%** compared to **5.91%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.41%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **3.93%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **5.38%**

The USD D share class of the ETF returned **3.64%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.56%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.01%**.


- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 0.69% and Financials with 0.39%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 2.34% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.00% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 12.67%
 - Financials represented, on average, 8.26% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.67% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 3.51%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with -0.10% and IT with -0.74%.
 - Materials represented, on average, 13.25% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.86% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.19%
 - IT represented, on average, 9.91% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.47% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 6.57%

The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Financials (0.15%) and Materials (0.11%)

The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Staples (-0.42%) and Industrials (-0.34%)

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
CHIPOTLE MEXN.GRILL INCO	0.06% (100%)	2.01% (100%)	18.07%	22.47% (8)	0.35%	C.Discretionary
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS	0.34% (100%)	3.14% (100%)	9.98%	17.09% (5)	0.31%	Materials
THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION	0.14% (100%)	3.45% (53%)	10.45%	10.08% (1)	0.27%	Financials
MONSANTO CO.	0.24% (100%)	4.15% (100%)	8.15%	10.44% (1)	0.27%	Materials
DOW CHEMICAL CO.	0.34% (100%)	2.14% (100%)	11.84%	17.86% (6)	0.24%	Materials

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.




Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
PHILIP MORRIS INTL.INCO.	0.80% (100%)	0.15% (77%)	24.53%	12.41% (2)	-0.15%	C.Staples
MICROSOFT CORP.	2.49% (100%)	0.53% (47%)	6.63%	10.69% (1)	-0.15%	IT
UNITED PARCEL SER.INCO.	0.38% (100%)	2.06% (83%)	-5.68%	18.49% (6)	-0.17%	Industrials
FACEBOOK INCO.	1.56% (100%)	0.38% (100%)	23.47%	12.92% (2)	-0.24%	IT
APPLE INCO.	3.49% (100%)	0.50% (100%)	24.57%	14.94% (4)	-0.68%	IT

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

3.3 ITD: Period from 07-Jun-2011 to 31-Mar-2017

	OUMVNR Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	89.03%	100.69%
Ann. Performance	11.56%	12.72%
Ann. Volatility	10.97%	14.69%
Vol. Reduction	25.33%	-
Max Drawdown	-12.78%	-18.49%
Sharpe Ratio	1.04	0.85
Ann. Dividend Yield	1.99%	1.68%
Correlation	0.93	-
Beta	0.69	-
Ann Alpha	2.70%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	153.31%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	87.73%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD D	7.41%	-



Performance statistics for the period 07-Jun-2011/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. The inception date for the EUR share class is 15-Jun-2011: data are then relative to the period 15-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. The inception date for the USD D share class is 23-Dec-2014: data are then relative to the period 23-Dec-2014 / 31-Mar-2017. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 07-Jun-2011 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR is **89.03%** compared to **100.69%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-11.66%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **153.31%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **87.73%**


The USD D share class of the ETF returned **7.41%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **2.39%** while the Stock Picking for **-14.46%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 8.66% and C.Discretionary with 1.83%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 2.40% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.52% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 96.06%
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 16.02% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 11.94% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 45.06%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with -2.94% and Utilities with -4.31%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 7.59% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 15.53% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 13.48%
 - Utilities represented, on average, 13.78% in the Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.28% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 17.45%

The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in HealthCare (2.94%) and Financials (1.82%)

The Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Discretionary (-14.32%) and Energy (-2.56%)



Excess Return over the period 07-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
DUKE ENERGY CORP.	0.29% (100%)	2.51% (100%)	92.51%	15.20% (1)	2.04%	Utilities
THE SOUTHERN CO.	0.26% (100%)	3.23% (100%)	64.36%	13.83% (1)	2.04%	Utilities
LOCKHEED MARTIN CORP.	0.26% (100%)	1.78% (82%)	326.37%	16.88% (1)	1.90%	Industrials
KIMBERLY-CLARK CORP.	0.25% (100%)	1.28% (95%)	154.52%	14.98% (1)	1.76%	C.Staples
LORILLARD INCO.	0.13% (69%)	1.93% (48%)	165.20%	18.70% (2)	1.65%	C.Staples

Best performers in 07-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
GENERAL ELECTRIC CO.	1.58% (100%)	0.75% (24%)	96.89%	19.85% (3)	-1.49%	Industrials
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	1.29% (100%)	0.00% (-)	152.90%	26.02% (6)	-1.63%	Financials
WELLS FARGO & CO.	1.34% (100%)	0.20% (15%)	152.79%	22.95% (5)	-1.65%	Financials
APPLE INCO.	3.47% (100%)	1.68% (64%)	233.94%	25.52% (6)	-2.25%	IT
MICROSOFT CORP.	1.97% (100%)	0.98% (34%)	220.73%	22.80% (5)	-2.53%	IT

Worst performers in 07-Jun-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (OUMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 4

FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR (TUKXMV Index) versus its benchmark FTSE 100 Index TR (TUKG Index) over several periods of time: Both the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR and the FTSE 100 Index TR are computed in GBP. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using FTSE/Datastream source.

4.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	TUKXMV Index	TUKG Index
Performance	1.03%	1.12%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.58%	8.39%
Vol. Reduction	33.44%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.25%	-1.83%
Sharpe Ratio	2.25	1.65
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.26%	0.30%
Correlation	0.68	-
Beta	0.45	-
Alpha	0.51%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1C	0.91%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1D	0.91%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR is **1.03%** compared to **1.12%** for the FTSE 100 Index TR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.09%**

The GBP 1C share class of the ETF returned **0.91%**

The GBP 1D share class of the ETF returned **0.91%**


The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.07%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.02%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Industrials with 0.12% and Oil - Gas with 0.05%.
 - Industrials represented, on average, 18.12% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 7.63% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 1.16%
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 1.57% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 14.13% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 0.39%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Services with -0.09% and C.Goods with -0.09%.
 - C.Services represented, on average, 19.53% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 10.12% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 1.14%

- C.Goods represented, on average, 12.70% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 17.73% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 1.93%

The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a good stock picking in C.Services (0.18%) and Financials (0.09%)

The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a bad stock picking in HealthCare (-0.12%) and C.Goods (-0.10%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR over FTSE 100 Index TR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
NEXT PLC.	0.31% (100%)	1.34% (100%)	12.88%	33.83% (10)	0.15%	C.Services
ADMIRAL GROUP PLC.	0.21% (100%)	1.75% (100%)	8.81%	19.48% (7)	0.12%	Financials
INTERTEK GROUP PLC.	0.33% (100%)	1.47% (100%)	11.54%	17.67% (6)	0.11%	Industrials
MICRO FOCUS INTL.PLC.	0.26% (100%)	2.74% (100%)	4.30%	13.18% (3)	0.11%	Technology
MEDICLINIC INTL.PLC.	0.16% (100%)	2.97% (54%)	-3.98%	27.57% (9)	0.11%	HealthCare


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
HIKMA PHARMS.PLC.	0.16% (100%)	1.75% (100%)	-7.86%	37.11% (10)	-0.09%	HealthCare
BABCOCK INTL.GP.PLC.	0.24% (100%)	1.58% (100%)	-6.96%	18.94% (6)	-0.10%	Industrials
PRUDENTIAL PLC.	2.34% (100%)	0.00% (-)	6.78%	18.03% (6)	-0.15%	Financials
BRITISH AMER.TOB.PLC.	5.18% (100%)	2.08% (100%)	6.72%	12.91% (3)	-0.20%	C.Goods
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.36% (100%)	4.80% (100%)	-6.20%	22.31% (8)	-0.30%	Materials

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

4.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	TUKXMV Index	TUKG Index
Performance	4.68%	3.65%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	6.49%	7.24%
Vol. Reduction	10.33%	-
Max Drawdown	-2.90%	-3.22%
Sharpe Ratio	3.07	2.11
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.72%	1.13%
Correlation	0.62	-
Beta	0.56	-
Alpha	2.62%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1C	4.48%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1D	1.51%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the FTSE **100** Minimum Variance TR is **4.68%** compared to **3.65%** for the FTSE **100** Index TR, resulting in an outperformance of **1.02%**

The GBP 1C share class of the ETF returned **4.48%**

The GBP 1D share class of the ETF returned **1.51%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **1.11%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.08%**.


- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Oil - Gas with 1.43% and Industrials with 0.21%.
 - Oil - Gas represented, on average, 1.41% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 14.65% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 10.05%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 16.92% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 7.49% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 2.26%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Services with -0.35% and C.Goods with -0.43%.
 - C.Services represented, on average, 19.64% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 10.28% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 3.76%
 - C.Goods represented, on average, 12.42% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 17.16% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 9.48%

The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a good stock picking in Materials (0.60%) and Utilities (0.25%)

The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a bad stock picking in HealthCare (-0.39%) and C.Goods (-0.20%)

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
FRESNILLO PLC.	0.13% (100%)	2.56% (100%)	27.44%	36.65% (10)	0.58%	Materials
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.35% (100%)	5.07% (100%)	9.81%	28.37% (9)	0.47%	Materials
BP PLC.	4.90% (100%)	0.73% (100%)	-8.61%	17.69% (5)	0.39%	Oil - Gas
DCC PLC.	0.32% (100%)	2.25% (100%)	16.31%	17.55% (5)	0.31%	Industrials
ROYAL DUTCH SHELL	5.14% (100%)	1.00% (17%)	-4.87%	13.42% (2)	0.24%	Oil - Gas

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.




Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR over FTSE 100 Index TR across Industrial Sectors.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
ROYAL MAIL PLC.	0.21% (100%)	2.16% (100%)	-8.03%	18.69% (6)	-0.17%	Industrials
GLAXOSMITHKLINE PLC.	4.27% (100%)	2.03% (100%)	7.74%	10.11% (1)	-0.17%	HealthCare
ASTRAZENECA PLC.	3.16% (100%)	1.83% (100%)	14.42%	17.15% (5)	-0.18%	HealthCare
GLENCORE PLC	2.02% (100%)	0.00% (-)	12.91%	32.47% (10)	-0.23%	Materials
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PL.C.	5.03% (100%)	2.02% (100%)	17.31%	14.44% (3)	-0.48%	C.Goods

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

4.3 ITD: Period from 16-Dec-2011 to 31-Mar-2017

	TUKXMV Index	TUKG Index
Performance	83.35%	65.64%
Ann. Performance	12.13%	10.00%
Ann. Volatility	11.88%	13.93%
Vol. Reduction	14.68%	-
Max Drawdown	-13.31%	-20.02%
Sharpe Ratio	0.99	0.69
Ann. Dividend Yield	3.70%	4.03%
Correlation	0.93	-
Beta	0.79	-
Ann Alpha	4.13%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1C	77.26%	-
Perf. ETF Class GBP 1D	11.00%	-



Performance statistics for the period 16-Dec-2011/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The inception date for the GBP 1D share class is 23-Dec-2014: data are then relative to the period 23-Dec-2014 / 31-Mar-2017. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 16-Dec-2011 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the FTSE **100** Minimum Variance TR is **83.35%** compared to **65.64%** for the FTSE **100** Index TR, resulting in an outperformance of **17.71%**

The GBP 1C share class of the ETF returned **77.26%**


The GBP 1D share class of the ETF returned **11.00%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **17.73%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.70%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with 8.86% and Oil - Gas with 6.41%.
 - Materials represented, on average, 5.58% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 8.47% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 64.19%

- Oil - Gas represented, on average, 3.82% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 16.09% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector underperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 40.85%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Goods with -2.59% and Financials with -3.13%.
 - C.Goods represented, on average, 14.82% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 16.69% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 55.45%
 - Financials represented, on average, 12.88% in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR compared to 20.74% in the FTSE 100 Index TR and this sector outperformed the FTSE 100 Index TR by 23.39%

The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a good stock picking in Financials (4.63%) and Materials (2.27%)
 The FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR has a bad stock picking in C.Services (-4.68%) and Industrials (-3.16%)



Excess Return over the period 16-Dec-2011 / 31-Mar-2017 for FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR over FTSE 100 Index TR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
RANDGOLD RESOURCES LTD.	0.31% (100%)	3.24% (100%)	11.76%	36.36% (10)	3.38%	Materials
FRESNILLO PLC.	0.13% (100%)	1.83% (67%)	8.73%	40.74% (10)	3.29%	Materials
ASSOCIATED BRIT.FDS.PLC.	0.51% (100%)	1.66% (100%)	157.31%	23.41% (5)	2.99%	C.Goods
ADMIRAL GROUP PLC.	0.17% (100%)	1.90% (86%)	251.93%	23.19% (5)	2.88%	Financials
UNITED UTILITIES GP.PLC.	0.34% (100%)	2.52% (100%)	109.52%	17.58% (2)	2.48%	Utilities

Best performers in 16-Dec-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
BP PLC.	5.02% (100%)	1.52% (100%)	39.26%	22.04% (4)	-2.14%	Oil - Gas
ROYAL DUTCH SHELL	4.91% (100%)	1.80% (34%)	26.07%	21.26% (4)	-2.30%	Oil - Gas
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PLC.	4.23% (100%)	2.03% (100%)	123.46%	16.77% (1)	-2.46%	C.Goods
PRUDENTIAL PLC.	1.94% (100%)	0.04% (5%)	226.52%	26.15% (6)	-2.52%	Financials
HSBC HOLDINGS PLC.	6.91% (100%)	1.08% (100%)	81.75%	20.14% (3)	-4.35%	Financials

Worst performers in 16-Dec-2011 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (TUKG Index) and the strategy (TUKXMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 5

Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR (OEMMVNR Index) versus its benchmark S&P IFCI Index NR (IDRICOND Index) over several periods of time: Both the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR and the S&P IFCI Index NR are computed in USD. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using S&P/Datastream source.

5.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	OEMMVNR Index	IDRICOND Index
Performance	4.00%	2.74%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.46%	10.52%
Vol. Reduction	29.09%	-
Max Drawdown	-0.71%	-1.58%
Sharpe Ratio	7.77	3.49
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.25%	0.33%
Correlation	0.89	-
Beta	0.63	-
Alpha	2.25%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	3.18%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	3.85%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR is **4.00%** compared to **2.74%** for the S&P IFCI Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **1.26%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **3.18%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **3.85%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.26%** while the Stock Picking for **1.57%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with 0.12% and Materials with 0.05%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 18.96% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 4.86% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 0.82%
 - Materials represented, on average, 6.50% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.07% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 2.85%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with -0.09% and IT with -0.26%.

- HealthCare represented, on average, 5.79% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.99% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 2.75%
- IT represented, on average, 10.75% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 22.08% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 2.41%

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Discretionary (0.51%) and HealthCare (0.25%)



The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in IT (-0.17%) and Industrials (-0.08%)

The country Allocation contributes for **0.99%** while the Stock Picking for **0.32%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are BRAZIL with 0.48% and INDIA with 0.35%.
 - BRAZIL represented, on average, 0.46% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.38% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 6.88%
 - INDIA represented, on average, 19.95% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 10.42% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 3.73%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are MEXICO with -0.12% and S.KOREA with -0.12%.
 - MEXICO represented, on average, 1.71% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.27% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 7.34%
 - S.KOREA represented, on average, 9.70% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 14.94% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 2.47%

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in CHINA (0.52%) and POLAND (0.08%)

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in INDIA (-0.19%) and MALAYSIA (-0.17%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P IFCI Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
TAL EDUCATION GROUP	0.08% (100%)	1.52% (100%)	23.25%	32.68% (8)	0.32%	C.Discretionary	CHINA
NEW ORNTLED.& TGP.INCO.	0.14% (100%)	0.96% (100%)	24.73%	28.62% (6)	0.19%	C.Discretionary	CHINA
PLKNC.NAFTOWY ORLEN	0.15% (100%)	1.21% (100%)	8.79%	34.09% (8)	0.18%	Energy	POLAND
BGK.DUSIT MED.SVS.PCL.	0.04% (100%)	2.20% (100%)	8.15%	14.88% (1)	0.17%	HealthCare	THAILAND
ADVANCED INFO SER.PCL.	0.10% (100%)	1.56% (100%)	8.27%	13.89% (1)	0.12%	Telecom.	THAILAND


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ALIBABA GROUP HLDG.LTD.	2.21% (100%)	0.48% (100%)	4.79%	16.94% (2)	-0.08%	IT	CHINA
HENGAN INTL.GP.CO.LTD.	0.12% (100%)	1.02% (100%)	-12.92%	31.80% (7)	-0.09%	C.Staples	CHINA
NASPERS LTD.	1.43% (100%)	0.00% (-)	7.65%	33.80% (8)	-0.10%	C.Discretionary	S.AFRICA
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD.	2.90% (100%)	0.18% (54%)	7.51%	18.56% (2)	-0.20%	IT	CHINA
SAMSUNG ELTN.CO.LTD.	3.84% (100%)	0.00% (-)	8.37%	19.28% (3)	-0.30%	IT	S.KOREA

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

5.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	OEMMVNR Index	IDRICOND Index
Performance	11.58%	12.10%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	6.36%	8.72%
Vol. Reduction	27.04%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.18%	-2.83%
Sharpe Ratio	8.56	6.58
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.49%	0.47%
Correlation	0.84	-
Beta	0.61	-
Alpha	4.09%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	9.61%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	11.15%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR is **11.58%** compared to **12.10%** for the S&P IFCI Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.52%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **9.61%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **11.15%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-1.49%** while the Stock Picking for **1.05%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with 0.05% and Energy with 0.04%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 20.64% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 23.91% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 1.07%
 - Energy represented, on average, 5.92% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.13% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 6.69%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -0.53% and IT with -0.55%.

- Telecom. represented, on average, 19.14% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 4.91% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 3.71%
- IT represented, on average, 11.41% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.80% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 5.54%

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Energy (0.93%) and C.Discretionary (0.72%)



The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in IT (-0.69%) and HealthCare (-0.35%)

The country Allocation contributes for **0.78%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.22%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are INDIA with 0.68% and RUSSIA with 0.63%.
 - INDIA represented, on average, 19.79% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 10.19% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 7.27%
 - RUSSIA represented, on average, 0.06% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 4.16% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 14.10%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are UAE with -0.27% and THAILAND with -0.69%.
 - UAE represented, on average, 3.05% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 0.91% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 10.40%
 - THAILAND represented, on average, 19.98% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.53% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 3.96%

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in CHINA (0.63%) and THAILAND (0.42%)

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in INDIA (-0.70%) and MALAYSIA (-0.53%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P IFCI Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
TAL EDUCATION GROUP	0.08% (100%)	1.55% (100%)	51.92%	28.79% (6)	0.66%	C.Discretionary	CHINA
PLKNC.NAFTOWY ORLEN	0.14% (100%)	1.34% (100%)	23.52%	34.01% (8)	0.37%	Energy	POLAND
ADVANCED INFO SER.PCL.	0.10% (100%)	1.52% (100%)	26.19%	17.98% (2)	0.35%	Telecom.	THAILAND
THAI BEV.PUB.CO.LTD.	0.12% (100%)	2.28% (100%)	16.49%	22.48% (4)	0.35%	C.Staples	THAILAND
NEW ORNTLED.& TGP.INCO.	0.13% (100%)	1.04% (100%)	43.42%	28.50% (6)	0.34%	C.Discretionary	CHINA

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
TAIWAN SEMICON.MNFG.CTD.	2.21% (100%)	0.23% (100%)	10.61%	15.71% (1)	-0.21%	IT	TAIWAN
NASPERS LTD.	1.42% (100%)	0.00% (-)	17.22%	28.69% (6)	-0.24%	C.Discretionary	S.AFRICA
ALIBABA GROUP HLDG.LTD.	2.18% (100%)	0.53% (100%)	22.80%	19.63% (3)	-0.35%	IT	CHINA
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD.	2.85% (100%)	0.17% (83%)	17.17%	17.49% (2)	-0.45%	IT	CHINA
SAMSUNG ELTN.CO.LTD.	3.72% (100%)	0.00% (-)	23.47%	21.31% (3)	-0.82%	IT	S.KOREA

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

5.3 ITD: Period from 03-Feb-2012 to 31-Mar-2017

	OEMMVNR Index	IDRICOND Index
Performance	16.15%	9.48%
Ann. Performance	2.94%	1.77%
Ann. Volatility	10.62%	13.52%
Vol. Reduction	21.43%	-
Max Drawdown	-31.52%	-33.26%
Sharpe Ratio	0.26	0.12
Ann. Dividend Yield	2.62%	2.32%
Correlation	0.89	-
Beta	0.70	-
Ann Alpha	1.65%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	30.65%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	6.47%	-



Performance statistics for the period 03-Feb-2012/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 03-Feb-2012 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR is **16.15%** compared to **9.48%** for the S&P IFCI Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **6.67%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **30.65%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **6.47%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.12%** while the Stock Picking for **5.74%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with 2.67% and Energy with 1.35%.
 - Materials represented, on average, 5.79% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.07% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 33.55%
 - Energy represented, on average, 5.18% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.93% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 40.15%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -2.54% and IT with -4.04%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 19.95% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.36% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 16.75%

- IT represented, on average, 10.04% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 17.78% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 67.45%

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Telecom. (4.78%) and Utilities (3.13%)



The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in IT (-3.20%) and HealthCare (-2.31%)

The country Allocation contributes for **7.11%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.25%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are BRAZIL with 6.37% and THAILAND with 3.20%.
 - BRAZIL represented, on average, 1.62% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.14% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 43.22%
 - THAILAND represented, on average, 12.50% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.56% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 37.93%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are MALAYSIA with -2.18% and INDIA with -2.99%.
 - MALAYSIA represented, on average, 14.05% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.93% in the S&P IFCI Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 21.48%
 - INDIA represented, on average, 9.91% in the Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.50% in the S&P IFCI Index NR even if the country outperformed the S&P IFCI Index NR by 37.65%, the total contribution is negative due to inefficient market timing.

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in S.KOREA (3.21%) and CHINA (1.61%)

The Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in TAIWAN (-3.17%) and THAILAND (-1.42%)



Excess Return over the period 03-Feb-2012 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P IFCI Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
CP ALL PCL.	0.13% (100%)	1.35% (100%)	94.58%	27.84% (3)	0.94%	C.Staples	THAILAND
ADVANCED INFO SER.PCL.	0.16% (100%)	1.16% (100%)	38.91%	30.47% (4)	0.78%	Telecom.	THAILAND
KT & G CORP.	0.23% (100%)	1.62% (100%)	56.61%	26.95% (3)	0.75%	C.Staples	S.KOREA
CHUNGHWA TELC.CO.LTD.	0.26% (100%)	2.70% (100%)	35.72%	10.99% (1)	0.74%	Telecom.	TAIWAN
KOREA ELEC.PWR.CORP.	0.20% (100%)	1.05% (100%)	90.70%	28.06% (3)	0.73%	Utilities	S.KOREA

Best performers in 03-Feb-2012 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
NASPERS LTD.	1.00% (100%)	0.00% (-)	233.77%	35.34% (6)	-0.72%	C.Discretionary	S.AFRICA
SIME DARBY BHD.	0.14% (100%)	2.31% (71%)	-20.30%	19.52% (1)	-0.72%	Industrials	MALAYSIA
INDUSTRIES QATAR QSC	0.06% (49%)	2.64% (19%)	13.59%	21.55% (2)	-0.77%	Industrials	QATAR
SAMSUNG ELTN.CO.LTD.	3.25% (100%)	0.57% (29%)	105.84%	28.58% (4)	-1.59%	IT	S.KOREA
TENCENT HOLDINGS LTD.	1.69% (100%)	0.18% (10%)	506.40%	28.10% (3)	-1.64%	IT	CHINA

Worst performers in 03-Feb-2012 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (IDRICOND Index) and the strategy (OEMMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 6

Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR (OWMVNR Index) versus its benchmark S&P Global 1200 Index NR (SPTRG12N Index) over several periods of time: Both the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR and the S&P Global 1200 Index NR are computed in USD. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using S&P/Datastream source.

6.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	OWMVNR Index	SPTRG12N Index
Performance	-0.21%	1.30%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	4.60%	7.24%
Vol. Reduction	36.46%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.19%	-1.11%
Sharpe Ratio	-0.71	2.17
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.30%	0.25%
Correlation	0.78	-
Beta	0.49	-
Alpha	-0.89%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	-0.93%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	-0.27%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR is **-0.21%** compared to **1.30%** for the S&P Global **1200** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.52%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **-0.93%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **-0.27%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.24%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.08%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Utilities with 0.10% and Energy with 0.07%.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 14.06% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.08% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 0.78%
 - Energy represented, on average, 0.26% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.70% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 0.97%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Real Estate with -0.10% and IT with -0.26%.

- Real Estate represented, on average, 3.21% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 2.50% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 1.97%
- IT represented, on average, 2.62% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 16.14% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 1.99%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in IT (0.05%) and Materials (0.03%)



The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Discretionary (-0.37%) and Utilities (-0.25%)

The country Allocation contributes for **-0.76%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.57%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SWITZERLAND with 0.05% and BRAZIL with 0.03%.
 - SWITZERLAND represented, on average, 6.00% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.23% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 1.36%
 - BRAZIL represented, on average, 0.00% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 0.70% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 4.03%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are USA with -0.15% and FRANCE with -0.16%.
 - USA represented, on average, 73.72% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 58.23% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 1.03%
 - FRANCE represented, on average, 0.29% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.38% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 5.28%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in JAPAN (0.21%) and SWITZERLAND (0.02%)

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in USA (-0.66%) and AUSTRALIA (-0.04%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P Global 1200 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ROCHE HOLDING AG	0.51% (100%)	1.41% (100%)	8.00%	23.92% (8)	0.21%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND
CANON INCO.	0.10% (100%)	1.50% (100%)	6.22%	15.97% (5)	0.17%	IT	JAPAN
KAO CORP.	0.08% (100%)	1.19% (100%)	5.65%	13.16% (3)	0.14%	C.Staples	JAPAN
INTUITIVE SURGICAL INCO.	0.08% (100%)	1.74% (100%)	4.00%	10.77% (1)	0.14%	HealthCare	USA
SYNGENTA AG	0.12% (100%)	2.45% (54%)	2.27%	6.79% (1)	0.12%	Materials	SWITZERLAND


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
THE SOUTHERN CO.	0.14% (100%)	2.27% (100%)	-2.05%	11.62% (2)	-0.09%	Utilities	USA
APPLE INCO.	2.13% (100%)	0.00% (-)	4.87%	12.61% (3)	-0.09%	IT	USA
HUMANA INCO.	0.09% (100%)	0.72% (100%)	-2.23%	16.22% (5)	-0.10%	HealthCare	USA
MITSUI & CO.LTD.	0.08% (100%)	1.59% (100%)	-4.39%	16.26% (5)	-0.14%	Industrials	JAPAN
CHIPOTLE MEXN.GRILL INCO	0.03% (100%)	1.90% (54%)	6.40%	20.33% (7)	-0.15%	C.Discretionary	USA

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

6.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	OWMVNR Index	SPTRG12N Index
Performance	4.72%	6.64%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	4.83%	6.04%
Vol. Reduction	19.94%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.19%	-1.11%
Sharpe Ratio	4.06	4.76
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.54%	0.53%
Correlation	0.57	-
Beta	0.46	-
Alpha	1.58%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	3.11%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	4.56%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR is **4.72%** compared to **6.64%** for the S&P Global **1200** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.92%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **3.11%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **4.56%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.10%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.88%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 0.83% and Utilities with 0.10%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 0.09% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.00% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 11.17%
 - Utilities represented, on average, 13.52% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.06% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 0.74%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -0.16% and IT with -0.78%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 6.34% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.62% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 5.73%



- IT represented, on average, 2.86% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 15.86% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 6.31%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in HealthCare (0.11%) and Materials (0.05%)
The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Discretionary (-1.18%) and C.Staples (-0.29%)

The country Allocation contributes for **-0.50%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.27%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are CANADA with 0.11% and UK with 0.10%.
 - CANADA represented, on average, 0.59% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.39% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 3.95%
 - UK represented, on average, 0.00% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.48% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 1.51%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are S.KOREA with -0.12% and JAPAN with -0.14%.
 - S.KOREA represented, on average, 0.00% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 1.10% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 11.94%
 - JAPAN represented, on average, 15.15% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.87% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 2.10%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in JAPAN (0.21%) and AUSTRIA (0.00%)
The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in USA (-1.19%) and AUSTRALIA (-0.14%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P Global 1200 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
FANUC CORP.	0.11% (100%)	1.60% (100%)	20.54%	19.24% (6)	0.27%	Industrials	JAPAN
THE CLOROX CO.	0.05% (100%)	2.02% (100%)	13.08%	13.42% (2)	0.26%	C.Staples	USA
INTUITIVE SURGICAL INCO.	0.08% (100%)	1.50% (100%)	20.86%	16.43% (4)	0.25%	HealthCare	USA
SYNGENTA AG	0.12% (100%)	2.42% (83%)	11.53%	9.32% (1)	0.25%	Materials	SWITZERLAND
TAKEDA PHARM.CO.LTD.	0.10% (100%)	1.50% (100%)	15.18%	17.75% (5)	0.19%	HealthCare	JAPAN


Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
AMAZON.COM INCO.	0.95% (100%)	0.00% (-)	18.23%	14.46% (2)	-0.16%	C.Discretionary	USA
FAST RETAILING CO.LTD.	0.06% (100%)	1.31% (100%)	-12.19%	25.53% (8)	-0.17%	C.Discretionary	JAPAN
FACEBOOK INCO.	0.91% (100%)	0.00% (-)	23.47%	12.92% (2)	-0.19%	IT	USA
TARGET CORP.	0.10% (100%)	0.96% (100%)	-22.88%	31.09% (9)	-0.19%	C.Discretionary	USA
APPLE INCO.	2.03% (100%)	0.00% (-)	24.57%	14.94% (3)	-0.44%	IT	USA

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

6.3 ITD: Period from 04-Sep-2012 to 31-Mar-2017

	OWMVNR Index	SPTRG12N Index
Performance	57.03%	58.19%
Ann. Performance	10.37%	10.55%
Ann. Volatility	8.77%	10.96%
Vol. Reduction	19.99%	-
Max Drawdown	-9.63%	-18.02%
Sharpe Ratio	1.16	0.94
Ann. Dividend Yield	2.27%	2.16%
Correlation	0.82	-
Beta	0.66	-
Ann Alpha	3.35%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	80.76%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	53.91%	-



Performance statistics for the period 04-Sep-2012/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 04-Sep-2012 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR is **57.03%** compared to **58.19%** for the S&P Global **1200** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.16%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **80.76%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **53.91%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-3.65%** while the Stock Picking for **2.57%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 4.51% and HealthCare with 2.65%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 0.91% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.47% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 59.83%
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 17.18% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 11.85% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 22.92%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are IT with -2.64% and Utilities with -2.74%.



- IT represented, on average, 5.30% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 13.71% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 35.53%
- Utilities represented, on average, 14.33% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.27% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 20.41%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Telecom. (3.19%) and Financials (3.00%)
The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Discretionary (-4.96%) and IT (-1.60%)

The country Allocation contributes for **8.86%** while the Stock Picking for **-9.94%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are USA with 3.47% and UK with 2.28%.
 - USA represented, on average, 70.04% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 54.93% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country outperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 21.99%
 - UK represented, on average, 2.22% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.16% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 36.98%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are JAPAN with -0.58% and SWITZERLAND with -0.77%.
 - JAPAN represented, on average, 16.87% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.71% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 2.73%
 - SWITZERLAND represented, on average, 4.18% in the Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.55% in the S&P Global 1200 Index NR and this country underperformed the S&P Global 1200 Index NR by 10.16%

The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in SWITZERLAND (1.13%) and UK (0.28%)
The Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in USA (-7.71%) and JAPAN (-2.28%)



Excess Return over the period 04-Sep-2012 / 31-Mar-2017 for Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P Global 1200 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
O'REILLY AUTV.INCO.	0.06% (100%)	1.61% (54%)	223.47%	20.20% (3)	1.79%	C.Discretionary	USA
NIPPON TELG.& TEL.CORP.	0.15% (100%)	2.15% (100%)	114.99%	25.43% (6)	1.73%	Telecom.	JAPAN
AUTOZONE INCO.	0.06% (100%)	1.65% (100%)	101.81%	17.38% (2)	1.58%	C.Discretionary	USA
ALLERGAN PLC.	0.20% (100%)	0.90% (54%)	189.24%	27.80% (7)	1.39%	HealthCare	USA
CIGNA CORP.	0.09% (100%)	1.35% (66%)	222.62%	23.12% (5)	1.07%	HealthCare	USA

Best performers in 04-Sep-2012 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	0.72% (100%)	0.00% (-)	168.35%	20.57% (3)	-0.83%	Financials	USA
ORIENTAL LAND CO.LTD.	0.04% (100%)	2.04% (33%)	80.89%	24.53% (5)	-0.86%	C.Discretionary	JAPAN
AMAZON.COM INCO.	0.56% (100%)	0.00% (-)	257.65%	29.21% (7)	-0.88%	C.Discretionary	USA
NEWMONT MINING CORP.	0.05% (100%)	1.04% (56%)	-28.89%	40.52% (10)	-1.07%	Materials	USA
MICROSOFT CORP.	1.12% (100%)	0.25% (34%)	145.59%	22.62% (4)	-1.13%	IT	USA

Worst performers in 04-Sep-2012 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTRG12N Index) and the strategy (OWMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 7

Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index (BXIICESE Index) versus its benchmark MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe (MSDEE15N Index) over several periods of time; Both the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index and the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe are computed in EUR. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Barclays/MSCI/Datastream source.

7.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIICESE Index	MSDEE15N Index
Performance	2.62%	3.34%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.67%	7.90%
Vol. Reduction	2.88%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.93%	-1.09%
Sharpe Ratio	4.69	6.02
Cum. Dividend Yield	-0.00%	0.38%
Correlation	0.86	-
Beta	0.84	-
Alpha	-0.17%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	2.56%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.


For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index is **2.62%** compared to **3.34%** for the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.72%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **2.56%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.71%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Utilities with 0.39% and HealthCare with 0.31%.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 25.10% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 3.47% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 2.03%
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 3.86% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 13.04% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector underperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 1.57%

- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Financials + RealEstate with -0.30% and Energy with -0.47%.
 - Financials + RealEstate represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 21.81% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 1.40%
 - Energy represented, on average, 20.85% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 7.00% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector underperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 1.94%



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index over MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Utilities	3.47% (100%)	25.12% (100%)	5.37%	5.34%	8.56% (4)	1.08%
Materials	8.31% (100%)	24.97% (100%)	1.80%	1.81%	14.52% (10)	0.29%
C.Staples	14.09% (100%)	25.21% (100%)	2.38%	2.37%	5.28% (1)	0.24%
HealthCare	13.04% (100%)	17.74% (21%)	1.77%	1.30%	8.35% (3)	0.16%
Telecom.	4.14% (100%)	0.00% (-)	3.45%	0.00%	8.25% (2)	-0.14%


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICSE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Energy	6.99% (100%)	22.91% (92%)	1.39%	-0.34%	14.39% (9)	-0.15%
IT	4.40% (100%)	0.00% (-)	4.87%	0.00%	9.25% (5)	-0.21%
C.Discretionary	10.75% (100%)	0.00% (-)	4.18%	0.00%	9.36% (6)	-0.45%
Industrials	13.01% (100%)	0.00% (-)	3.89%	0.00%	9.63% (7)	-0.51%
Financials + RealEstate	21.80% (100%)	0.00% (-)	4.74%	0.00%	11.73% (8)	-1.02%

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICSE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

7.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIICSE Index	MSDEE15N Index
Performance	6.42%	5.96%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.20%	8.01%
Vol. Reduction	10.12%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.93%	-2.04%
Sharpe Ratio	3.99	3.31
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.01%	0.71%
Correlation	0.84	-
Beta	0.76	-
Alpha	1.94%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	6.25%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.


For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index is **6.42%** compared to **5.96%** for the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe, resulting in an outperformance of **0.46%**. The EUR share class of the ETF returned **6.25%**.

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.44%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with 0.43% and C.Staples with 0.21%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 15.46% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 12.93% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 1.35%
 - C.Staples represented, on average, 25.07% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 13.92% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 1.99%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are IT with -0.22% and Industrials with -0.37%.
 - IT represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 4.32% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 5.31%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 12.93% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 2.90%

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Utilities	3.47% (100%)	25.11% (100%)	6.51%	6.45%	9.17% (2)	1.39%
Materials	8.40% (100%)	25.06% (100%)	6.27%	6.28%	13.13% (8)	1.06%
C.Staples	13.92% (100%)	25.08% (100%)	7.96%	7.95%	8.93% (1)	0.87%
HealthCare	12.93% (100%)	22.83% (67%)	7.32%	4.77%	11.07% (6)	0.37%
Energy	7.25% (100%)	22.91% (33%)	-4.38%	-0.34%	13.43% (9)	0.28%

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICSE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.




Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index over MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe across Industrial Sectors.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Telecom.	4.15% (100%)	0.00% (-)	3.64%	0.00%	11.16% (7)	-0.15%
IT	4.32% (100%)	0.00% (-)	11.28%	0.00%	9.49% (5)	-0.47%
C.Discretionary	10.80% (100%)	19.81% (9%)	5.64%	0.00%	9.30% (4)	-0.62%
Industrials	12.93% (100%)	0.00% (-)	8.87%	0.00%	9.19% (3)	-1.13%
Financials + RealEstate	21.85% (100%)	0.00% (-)	5.26%	0.00%	13.51% (10)	-1.16%

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICESE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

7.3 ITD: Period from 30-Dec-2014 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIICESE Index	MSDEE15N Index
Performance	20.07%	18.13%
Ann. Performance	8.46%	7.68%
Ann. Volatility	19.69%	18.60%
Vol. Reduction	-5.89%	-
Max Drawdown	-23.27%	-25.92%
Sharpe Ratio	0.44	0.43
Ann. Dividend Yield	0.06%	2.96%
Correlation	0.91	-
Beta	0.96	-
Ann Alpha	1.09%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	18.07%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2014/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.


For the period 30-Dec-2014 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index is **20.07%** compared to **18.13%** for the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe, resulting in an outperformance of **1.94%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **18.07%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **2.00%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 4.92% and Financials + RealEstate with 1.44%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 11.08% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 6.97% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector underperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 0.98%

- Financials + RealEstate represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 21.63% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector underperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 8.37%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with -1.36% and Utilities with -3.84%.
 - Materials represented, on average, 18.49% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 7.41% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe even if the sector outperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 6.57%, the total contribution is negative due to inefficient market timing.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 24.97% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 3.89% in the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe and this sector underperformed the MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe by 16.96%



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2014 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE Europe Sector Value Net TR Index over MSCI Europe Daily Net TR Europe across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Materials	7.41% (100%)	24.83% (75%)	24.65%	25.91%	22.31% (8)	4.12%
Telecom.	4.77% (100%)	24.75% (72%)	0.56%	4.02%	21.05% (7)	1.46%
Energy	6.97% (100%)	24.59% (45%)	17.09%	6.51%	26.74% (10)	1.36%
Utilities	3.89% (100%)	24.97% (100%)	1.12%	1.33%	19.06% (4)	1.12%
C.Staples	14.67% (100%)	23.99% (19%)	30.00%	20.01%	16.98% (1)	0.06%

Best performers in 30-Dec-2014 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICSE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
HealthCare	13.66% (100%)	22.71% (12%)	12.46%	5.95%	18.87% (3)	-0.43%
C.Discretionary	11.26% (100%)	24.29% (15%)	18.57%	5.91%	20.38% (6)	-0.65%
IT	3.99% (100%)	0.00% (-)	35.41%	0.00%	19.97% (5)	-1.21%
Industrials	11.76% (100%)	24.86% (67%)	31.97%	4.16%	18.73% (2)	-1.46%
Financials + RealEstate	21.63% (100%)	0.00% (-)	9.71%	0.00%	24.39% (9)	-2.51%

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2014 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (MSDEE15N Index) and the strategy (BXIICSE Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 8

Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index (BXIICUSU Index) versus its benchmark S&P500 Index NR (SPTR500N Index) over several periods of time: Both the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index and the S&P500 Index NR are computed in USD. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Barclays/S&P/Datastream source.

8.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIICUSU Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	0.76%	0.07%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.86%	8.09%
Vol. Reduction	2.91%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.62%	-2.19%
Sharpe Ratio	1.09	0.01
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.00%	0.11%
Correlation	0.98	-
Beta	0.95	-
Alpha	0.69%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	0.08%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	0.73%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index is **0.76%** compared to **0.07%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **0.69%**


The EUR share class of the ETF returned **0.08%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **0.73%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.71%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials + RealEstate with 0.45% and C.Discretionary with 0.25%.
 - Financials + RealEstate represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 17.49% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 2.58%
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 25.07% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 12.15% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 1.94%

- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with -0.04% and Industrials with -0.11%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 24.98% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 14.00% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.61%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 24.79% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 10.13% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.77%



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
C.Discretionary	12.16% (100%)	25.08% (100%)	1.99%	2.01%	6.77% (2)	0.33%
Financials + RealEstate	17.48% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-2.53%	0.00%	14.01% (9)	0.28%
IT + Telecom. Services	24.24% (100%)	25.17% (100%)	2.16%	2.20%	8.01% (3)	0.20%
Energy	6.62% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-1.03%	0.00%	15.38% (10)	0.04%
C.Staples	9.38% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-0.42%	0.00%	4.47% (1)	0.02%


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
C.Staples	9.38% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-0.42%	0.00%	4.47% (1)	0.02%
Utilities	3.17% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-0.29%	0.00%	11.67% (7)	0.01%
Materials	2.83% (100%)	0.00% (-)	0.39%	0.00%	12.71% (8)	-0.01%
HealthCare	14.00% (100%)	24.96% (100%)	-0.56%	-0.47%	8.11% (4)	-0.05%
Industrials	10.13% (100%)	24.79% (100%)	-0.72%	-0.70%	11.05% (6)	-0.12%

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

8.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIIICUSU Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	7.65%	5.91%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	6.24%	6.54%
Vol. Reduction	4.62%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.62%	-2.19%
Sharpe Ratio	5.40	3.85
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.00%	0.37%
Correlation	0.95	-
Beta	0.91	-
Alpha	2.26%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	6.07%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	7.56%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.


For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index is **7.65%** compared to **5.91%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **1.74%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **6.07%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **7.56%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **1.75%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 0.97% and Financials + RealEstate with 0.59%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 7.00% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 12.67%
 - Financials + RealEstate represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 17.51% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 3.34%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with -0.04% and C.Staples with -0.19%.
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 15.57% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 13.82% in the S&P500 Index NR even if the sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 2.21%, the total contribution is negative due to inefficient market timing.
 - C.Staples represented, on average, 9.40% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 9.34% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.25%



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
C.Discretionary	12.18% (100%)	25.03% (100%)	8.32%	8.34%	6.57% (1)	1.10%
Industrials	10.21% (100%)	24.89% (100%)	4.39%	5.01%	9.40% (6)	0.83%
Energy	6.99% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-6.77%	0.00%	13.52% (10)	0.50%
IT + Telecom. Services	23.96% (100%)	25.11% (100%)	10.57%	10.71%	6.69% (3)	0.22%
HealthCare	13.82% (100%)	24.11% (65%)	8.11%	4.67%	8.64% (4)	0.07%


Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
HealthCare	13.82% (100%)	24.11% (65%)	8.11%	4.67%	8.64% (4)	0.07%
C.Staples	9.34% (100%)	23.51% (39%)	6.14%	1.79%	6.60% (2)	-0.16%
Materials	2.86% (100%)	0.00% (-)	5.71%	0.00%	11.01% (7)	-0.16%
Utilities	3.14% (100%)	0.00% (-)	6.11%	0.00%	11.38% (8)	-0.19%
Financials + RealEstate	17.51% (100%)	0.00% (-)	2.56%	0.00%	12.12% (9)	-0.47%

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

8.3 ITD: Period from 22-Jun-2015 to 31-Mar-2017

	BXIICUSU Index	SPTR500N Index
Performance	27.67%	14.32%
Ann. Performance	14.75%	7.83%
Ann. Volatility	13.56%	13.82%
Vol. Reduction	1.84%	-
Max Drawdown	-11.48%	-13.29%
Sharpe Ratio	1.06	0.54
Ann. Dividend Yield	0.06%	1.61%
Correlation	0.98	-
Beta	0.96	-
Ann Alpha	7.22%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	35.10%	-
Perf. ETF Class USD	26.80%	-



Performance statistics for the period 22-Jun-2015/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The EUR share class is not hedged against the currency risk. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.


For the period 22-Jun-2015 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index is **27.67%** compared to **14.32%** for the S&P500 Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **13.35%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **35.10%**

The USD share class of the ETF returned **26.80%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **13.23%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are C.Staples with 5.54% and Energy with 4.68%.
 - C.Staples represented, on average, 13.45% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 9.91% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 1.29%
 - Energy represented, on average, 4.76% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 7.06% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 19.78%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Utilities with -0.25% and Financials + RealEstate with -0.29%.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 3.18% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 11.03%
 - Financials + RealEstate represented, on average, 0.00% in the Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index compared to 16.44% in the S&P500 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P500 Index NR by 0.92%



Excess Return over the period 22-Jun-2015 / 31-Mar-2017 for Shiller Barclays CAPE US Sector Value Net TR Index over S&P500 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
Materials	2.88% (100%)	21.86% (11%)	6.39%	18.20%	18.01% (9)	3.71%
Industrials	10.08% (100%)	25.00% (100%)	18.75%	19.52%	14.74% (3)	3.62%
Energy	7.06% (100%)	24.25% (20%)	-5.47%	13.90%	23.67% (10)	3.60%
C.Discretionary	12.64% (100%)	23.93% (44%)	15.67%	21.20%	14.71% (2)	3.43%
IT + Telecom. Services	23.21% (100%)	25.02% (100%)	27.39%	27.48%	15.73% (6)	1.47%

Best performers in 22-Jun-2015 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return B	Return S	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL
IT + Telecom. Services	23.21% (100%)	25.02% (100%)	27.39%	27.48%	15.73% (6)	1.47%
C.Staples	9.90% (100%)	24.10% (56%)	15.60%	8.62%	12.25% (1)	0.98%
HealthCare	14.61% (100%)	24.53% (77%)	-0.45%	-3.40%	16.22% (7)	-0.37%
Utilities	3.18% (100%)	0.00% (-)	25.34%	0.00%	15.42% (4)	-0.71%
Financials + RealEstate	16.44% (100%)	0.00% (-)	15.22%	0.00%	17.79% (8)	-2.49%

Worst performers in 22-Jun-2015 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTR500N Index) and the strategy (BXIICUSU Index). The column Return shows the sector performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the sector over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the sector to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 9

Japan Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR (JPMVNR Index) versus its benchmark S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR (SPTPXN Index) over several periods of time: Both the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR and the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR are computed in JPY. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using S&P/Datastream source.

9.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	JPMVNR Index	SPTPXN Index
Performance	0.98%	-0.82%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	10.84%	13.34%
Vol. Reduction	18.73%	-
Max Drawdown	-2.03%	-3.55%
Sharpe Ratio	1.13	-0.69
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.72%	0.74%
Correlation	0.96	-
Beta	0.78	-
Alpha	1.62%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	0.72%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR H	0.96%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR is **0.98%** compared to **-0.82%** for the S&P TOPIX **150** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **1.80%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **0.72%**


The EUR H share class of the ETF returned **0.96%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **1.17%** while the Stock Picking for **0.72%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with 0.45% and C.Staples with 0.25%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 0.34% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 13.70% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 3.24%
 - C.Staples represented, on average, 20.10% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.62% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 2.00%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -0.00% and Industrials with -0.01%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 7.99% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.52% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 0.82%

- Industrials represented, on average, 20.00% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.64% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 0.58%

The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Discretionary (0.37%) and Telecom. (0.12%)
The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in Utilities (-0.15%) and Financials (-0.00%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Japan Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
TOYOTA MOTOR CORP.	5.99% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-5.07%	17.31% (4)	0.40%	C.Discretionary
SOFTBANK GROUP CORP.	2.46% (100%)	0.07% (46%)	-5.72%	19.98% (6)	0.18%	Telecom.
MITSUB.UFJ FINL.GP.INCO.	3.42% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-4.04%	21.94% (8)	0.18%	Financials
SUMITOMO MTL.FGP.INCO.	1.97% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-5.91%	17.03% (4)	0.16%	Financials
NITORI HOLDINGS CO.LTD.	0.36% (100%)	2.68% (100%)	7.57%	25.33% (9)	0.14%	C.Discretionary


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
RICOH CO.LTD.	0.24% (100%)	1.39% (100%)	-5.18%	18.27% (5)	-0.05%	IT
SONY CORPORATION	1.48% (100%)	1.42% (100%)	8.57%	20.99% (7)	-0.07%	C.Discretionary
DAIKIN INDUSTRIES LTD.	1.05% (100%)	0.00% (-)	5.42%	15.37% (2)	-0.08%	Industrials
KOMATSU LTD.	0.92% (100%)	0.00% (-)	8.09%	21.51% (8)	-0.09%	Industrials
NINTENDO CO.LTD.	0.99% (100%)	0.00% (-)	11.50%	27.46% (10)	-0.14%	IT

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

9.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	JPMVNR Index	SPTPXN Index
Performance	1.76%	-0.18%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	10.90%	13.74%
Vol. Reduction	20.68%	-
Max Drawdown	-2.69%	-3.55%
Sharpe Ratio	0.67	-0.05
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.81%	0.78%
Correlation	0.95	-
Beta	0.76	-
Alpha	1.90%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	4.86%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR H	1.35%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR is **1.76%** compared to **-0.18%** for the S&P TOPIX **150** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **1.94%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **4.86%**

The EUR H share class of the ETF returned **1.35%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.94%** while the Stock Picking for **1.09%**.


- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with 0.32% and C.Discretionary with 0.29%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 0.55% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 13.66% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 2.31%
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 14.41% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 20.42% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 3.71%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Utilities with -0.09% and Materials with -0.17%.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 7.43% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 1.53% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 2.29%
 - Materials represented, on average, 3.23% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.26% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 5.00%

The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Discretionary (0.50%) and IT (0.33%)

The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Staples (-0.21%) and Utilities (-0.18%)

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
SONY CORPORATION	1.45% (100%)	1.78% (100%)	15.30%	20.80% (5)	0.72%	C.Discretionary
RECRUIT HOLDINGS CO.LTD.	0.79% (100%)	1.71% (100%)	22.36%	21.27% (6)	0.70%	Industrials
FANUC CORP.	1.39% (100%)	0.89% (100%)	15.17%	20.65% (5)	0.60%	Industrials
KEYENCE CORPORATION	1.26% (100%)	2.69% (100%)	11.36%	15.12% (1)	0.57%	IT
YAHOO JAPAN CORPORATION	0.21% (100%)	1.79% (100%)	16.48%	35.02% (10)	0.47%	IT

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.




Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Japan Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
MITSUBISHI EST.CO.LTD.	1.01% (100%)	0.19% (83%)	-12.41%	21.23% (6)	-0.32%	Real Estate
FAST RETAILING CO.LTD.	0.76% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-16.10%	27.99% (10)	-0.33%	C.Discretionary
JAPAN TOBACCO INCO.	1.38% (100%)	2.81% (100%)	-5.88%	14.22% (1)	-0.34%	C.Staples
SUMITOMO MTL.FGP.INCO.	2.04% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-7.66%	18.84% (4)	-0.37%	Financials
TOYOTA MOTOR CORP.	6.25% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-12.15%	18.73% (4)	-1.90%	C.Discretionary

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

9.3 ITD: Period from 21-Dec-2015 to 31-Mar-2017

	JPMVNR Index	SPTPXN Index
Performance	-1.45%	0.02%
Ann. Performance	-1.14%	0.02%
Ann. Volatility	19.99%	24.21%
Vol. Reduction	17.43%	-
Max Drawdown	-19.28%	-23.26%
Sharpe Ratio	-0.06	0.00
Ann. Dividend Yield	1.92%	2.10%
Correlation	0.95	-
Beta	0.79	-
Ann Alpha	-1.15%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	7.98%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR H	0.80%	-



Performance statistics for the period 21-Dec-2015/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. The inception date for the EUR H share class is 29-Jan-2016: data are then relative to the period 29-Jan-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 21-Dec-2015 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR is **-1.45%** compared to **0.02%** for the S&P TOPIX **150** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.47%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **7.98%**


The EUR H share class of the ETF returned **0.80%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.86%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.52%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are C.Discretionary with 0.63% and IT with 0.44%.
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 13.03% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 20.71% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 7.77%

- IT represented, on average, 16.63% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.67% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 8.42%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Materials with -0.51% and HealthCare with -0.83%.
 - Materials represented, on average, 3.72% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 5.87% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector outperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 13.29%
 - HealthCare represented, on average, 9.37% in the Japan Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.95% in the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR and this sector underperformed the S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR by 7.47%

The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in C.Discretionary (1.70%) and C.Staples (0.76%)
 The Japan Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in IT (-1.17%) and Industrials (-0.97%)



Excess Return over the period 21-Dec-2015 / 31-Mar-2017 for Japan Minimum Variance Index NR over S&P TOPIX 150 Index NR across Industrial Sectors.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
TAIHEIYO CEMENT CORP.	0.14% (100%)	0.99% (58%)	4.41%	40.81% (9)	0.78%	Materials
LAWSON INCO.	0.21% (97%)	3.78% (97%)	-18.65%	22.70% (1)	0.62%	C.Staples
FUJITSU LIMITED	0.37% (100%)	1.33% (75%)	11.35%	39.24% (9)	0.58%	IT
LIXIL GROUP CORP.	0.24% (100%)	1.95% (67%)	8.33%	32.12% (5)	0.55%	Industrials
NEC CORPORATION	0.27% (100%)	1.65% (100%)	-27.17%	36.21% (7)	0.44%	IT

Best performers in 21-Dec-2015 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector
KEYENCE CORPORATION	1.16% (100%)	1.82% (75%)	38.31%	27.45% (3)	-0.49%	IT
JX HOLDINGS INCO.	0.41% (100%)	2.75% (100%)	16.03%	28.39% (3)	-0.61%	Energy
RECRUIT HOLDINGS CO.LTD.	0.68% (100%)	2.39% (42%)	61.02%	24.86% (1)	-0.75%	Industrials
NITORI HOLDINGS CO.LTD.	0.35% (100%)	2.51% (100%)	41.56%	30.07% (4)	-0.87%	C.Discretionary
TOKYO ELECTRON LTD.	0.54% (100%)	1.96% (100%)	72.75%	31.06% (5)	-1.02%	IT

Worst performers in 21-Dec-2015 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SPTPXN Index) and the strategy (JPMVNR Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 10

US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR (ESGUSMV Index) versus its benchmark Solactive US Large Cap Index NR (SOLUSLCX Index) over several periods of time: Both the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR and the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR are computed in USD. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Solactive/Datastream source. The ESG scores and the breakdown is done accordingly to ESG scores provided by Sustainalytics.

10.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	ESGUSMV Index	SOLUSLCX Index
Performance	0.48%	0.03%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.80%	7.97%
Vol. Reduction	27.20%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.35%	-2.17%
Sharpe Ratio	0.86	-0.05
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.13%	0.11%
Correlation	0.94	-
Beta	0.68	-
Alpha	0.43%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	-0.24%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR is **0.48%** compared to **0.03%** for the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **0.45%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **-0.24%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.09%** while the Stock Picking for **0.36%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with 0.19% and C.Discretionary with 0.10%.
 - Financials represented, on average, 10.01% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 16.77% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.67%
 - C.Discretionary represented, on average, 16.95% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 12.40% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.06%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are C.Staples with -0.04% and IT with -0.20%.

- C.Staples represented, on average, 18.26% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.68% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.54%
- IT represented, on average, 12.43% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.66% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.27%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Industrials (0.33%) and Financials (0.24%)



The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Staples (-0.23%) and C.Discretionary (-0.12%)

The ESG Allocation contributes for **-0.16%** while the Stock Picking for **0.61%**.

- The main contributions to the ESG allocation are 60-65 with 0.05% and Contr./Weap./Compl. with 0.03%.
 - 60-65 represented, on average, 30.57% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 20.39% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.63%
 - Contr./Weap./Compl. represented, on average, 0.00% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.65% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.51%
- The worst contributions to the ESG allocation are 45-50 with -0.01% and 55-60 with -0.19%.
 - 45-50 represented, on average, 0.67% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.69% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.17%
 - 55-60 represented, on average, 27.67% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 15.43% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 1.44%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in 55-60 (0.34%) and 50-55 (0.22%)

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in 45-50 (-0.04%) and 70+ (-0.02%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR over Solactive US Large Cap Index NR across Sector and ESG.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
IHS MARKIT LTD.	0.07% (100%)	4.03% (100%)	5.40%	15.23% (5)	0.18%	Industrials	55-60
CHIPOTLE MEXN.GRILL INCO	0.06% (100%)	2.19% (100%)	6.40%	20.33% (8)	0.15%	C.Discretionary	50-55
MOTOROLA SOLUTIONS INCO.	0.07% (100%)	1.47% (100%)	9.81%	13.57% (4)	0.13%	IT	70+
THE WALT DISNEY CO.	0.84% (100%)	3.66% (100%)	3.00%	7.32% (1)	0.09%	C.Discretionary	60-65
KLA TENCOR CORP.	0.07% (100%)	1.86% (100%)	5.49%	10.77% (2)	0.08%	IT	60-65


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
MOLSON COORS BREWING CO.	0.09% (100%)	1.17% (100%)	-4.66%	11.18% (3)	-0.05%	C.Staples	70+
HUMANA INCO.	0.16% (100%)	3.39% (100%)	-2.23%	16.22% (6)	-0.05%	HealthCare	55-60
ALTRIA GROUP INCO.	0.72% (100%)	1.69% (100%)	-3.90%	10.30% (2)	-0.06%	C.Staples	60-65
WHOLE FOODS MARKET INCO.	0.05% (100%)	3.10% (100%)	-3.10%	17.75% (7)	-0.08%	C.Staples	55-60
THE J M SMUCKER CO.	0.08% (100%)	1.06% (100%)	-7.51%	10.48% (2)	-0.10%	C.Staples	60-65

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

10.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	ESGUSMV Index	SOLUSLCX Index
Performance	5.89%	5.94%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.50%	6.36%
Vol. Reduction	13.54%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.56%	-2.17%
Sharpe Ratio	4.56	3.99
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.38%	0.37%
Correlation	0.70	-
Beta	0.60	-
Alpha	2.22%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	4.18%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR is **5.89%** compared to **5.94%** for the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.06%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **4.18%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.28%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.36%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 0.57% and Financials with 0.31%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 2.66% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 6.91% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 12.48%
 - Financials represented, on average, 10.21% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 16.86% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 3.58%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are HealthCare with -0.19% and IT with -0.64%.

- HealthCare represented, on average, 6.30% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 13.88% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.56%
- IT represented, on average, 11.05% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 21.36% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 6.15%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Financials (0.48%) and Utilities (0.12%)



The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in C.Discretionary (-0.37%) and C.Staples (-0.25%)

The ESG Allocation contributes for **0.04%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.11%**.

- The main contributions to the ESG allocation are Contr./Weap./Compl. with 0.21% and 50-55 with 0.03%.
 - Contr./Weap./Compl. represented, on average, 1.51% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.17% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.32%
 - 50-55 represented, on average, 9.11% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 11.65% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.34%
- The worst contributions to the ESG allocation are 40-45 with -0.08% and 45-50 with -0.14%.
 - 40-45 represented, on average, 0.00% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 1.58% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 4.85%
 - 45-50 represented, on average, 0.92% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 8.98% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 2.26%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in 55-60 (0.25%) and 70+ (0.24%)

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in 60-65 (-0.60%) and Contr./Weap./Compl. (-0.15%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR over Solactive US Large Cap Index NR across Sector and ESG.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
IHS MARKIT LTD.	0.07% (100%)	3.60% (100%)	18.47%	13.92% (3)	0.48%	Industrials	55-60
THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION	0.14% (100%)	3.61% (100%)	10.45%	10.08% (1)	0.37%	Financials	55-60
CHIPOTLE MEXN.GRILL INCO	0.06% (100%)	1.99% (100%)	18.07%	22.47% (8)	0.35%	C.Discretionary	50-55
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS	0.34% (100%)	3.31% (100%)	9.98%	17.09% (5)	0.34%	Materials	60-65
HASBRO INCO.	0.05% (100%)	1.13% (53%)	29.10%	33.39% (10)	0.27%	C.Discretionary	65-70


Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
MICROSOFT CORP.	2.44% (100%)	0.42% (47%)	6.63%	10.69% (1)	-0.15%	IT	70+
PHILIP MORRIS INTL.INCO.	0.80% (100%)	0.01% (17%)	24.53%	12.41% (2)	-0.17%	C.Staples	60-65
UNITED PARCEL SER.INCO.	0.38% (100%)	3.67% (53%)	-5.68%	18.49% (6)	-0.19%	Industrials	60-65
FACEBOOK INCO.	1.53% (100%)	0.32% (100%)	23.47%	12.92% (2)	-0.23%	IT	50-55
APPLE INCO.	3.30% (100%)	0.60% (100%)	24.57%	14.94% (4)	-0.61%	IT	65-70

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

10.3 ITD: Period from 14-Apr-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	ESGUSMV Index	SOLUSLCX Index
Performance	12.00%	15.18%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	8.44%	9.59%
Vol. Reduction	12.00%	-
Max Drawdown	-7.29%	-5.47%
Sharpe Ratio	1.42	1.60
Cum. Dividend Yield	1.74%	1.68%
Correlation	0.78	-
Beta	0.68	-
Alpha	1.48%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	17.82%	-



Performance statistics for the period 14-Apr-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 14-Apr-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR is **12.00%** compared to **15.18%** for the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-3.18%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **17.82%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-2.07%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.78%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Energy with 0.30% and Industrials with 0.12%.
 - Energy represented, on average, 1.93% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 7.05% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 6.33%
 - Industrials represented, on average, 13.13% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.99% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 0.97%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are IT with -0.81% and Utilities with -0.87%.



- IT represented, on average, 8.70% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 20.90% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 8.02%
- Utilities represented, on average, 14.54% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 3.22% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this sector underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 7.31%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in Materials (0.26%) and C.Staples (0.20%)
The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in Industrials (-0.81%) and Energy (-0.54%)

The ESG Allocation contributes for **-0.53%** while the Stock Picking for **-2.32%**.

- The main contributions to the ESG allocation are 70+ with 0.35% and 40-45 with 0.10%.
 - 70+ represented, on average, 15.69% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 13.58% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 4.73%
 - 40-45 represented, on average, 0.00% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 1.80% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 5.46%
- The worst contributions to the ESG allocation are Contr./Weap./Compl. with -0.26% and 65-70 with -0.29%.
 - Contr./Weap./Compl. represented, on average, 1.20% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 9.85% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG outperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 3.42%
 - 65-70 represented, on average, 21.02% in the US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR compared to 16.48% in the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR and this ESG underperformed the Solactive US Large Cap Index NR by 1.69%

The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a good stock picking in 55-60 (0.60%) and 50-55 (0.16%)
The US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR has a bad stock picking in 60-65 (-1.23%) and 65-70 (-1.00%)



Excess Return over the period 14-Apr-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for US ESG Minimum Variance Index NR over Solactive US Large Cap Index NR across Sector and ESG.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
WASTE MANAGEMENT INCO.	0.16% (100%)	3.43% (100%)	31.24%	11.62% (1)	0.79%	Industrials	55-60
THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION	0.14% (100%)	2.82% (100%)	24.55%	12.10% (1)	0.73%	Financials	55-60
THE WALT DISNEY CO.	0.80% (100%)	2.70% (84%)	16.67%	12.57% (1)	0.63%	C.Discretionary	60-65
CME GROUP INCO.	0.19% (100%)	1.85% (90%)	37.23%	16.97% (3)	0.56%	Financials	50-55
IHS MARKIT LTD.	0.07% (38%)	3.36% (30%)	18.99%	19.27% (4)	0.50%	Industrials	NoCoverage

Best performers in 14-Apr-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	ESG
AMAZON.COM INCO.	1.60% (100%)	1.02% (56%)	42.82%	21.17% (5)	-0.45%	C.Discretionary	45-50
MICROSOFT CORP.	2.36% (100%)	0.42% (12%)	22.10%	18.15% (4)	-0.48%	IT	70+
JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.	1.41% (100%)	0.00% (-)	43.25%	19.17% (4)	-0.53%	Financials	NoCoverage
BANK OF AMERICA CORP.	0.98% (100%)	0.00% (-)	69.26%	26.57% (8)	-0.54%	Financials	70+
APPLE INCO.	3.06% (100%)	0.50% (38%)	30.86%	19.34% (4)	-0.75%	IT	60-65

Worst performers in 14-Apr-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SOLUSLCX Index) and the strategy (ESGUSMV Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 11

iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index (ISEMVHDT Index) versus its benchmark Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR (SXXR Index) over several periods of time: Both the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index and the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR are computed in EUR. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Stoxx/Datastream source.

11.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVHDT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	3.45%	3.32%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.96%	7.87%
Vol. Reduction	24.25%	-
Max Drawdown	-0.71%	-1.12%
Sharpe Ratio	8.30	6.00
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.00%	0.38%
Correlation	0.90	-
Beta	0.68	-
Alpha	1.19%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR D	3.47%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index is **3.45%** compared to **3.32%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an outperformance of **0.13%**. The EUR D share class of the ETF returned **3.47%**.

The sector Allocation contributes for **0.46%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.30%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Utilities with 0.34% and C.Services with 0.09%.
 - Utilities represented, on average, 20.22% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.80% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.04%
 - C.Services represented, on average, 0.66% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 6.87% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.07%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Financials with -0.03% and Technology with -0.07%.

- Financials represented, on average, 19.50% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 22.24% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.25%
- Technology represented, on average, 0.00% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.92% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.87%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in Industrials (0.25%) and Oil - Gas (0.17%)



The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in Financials (-0.35%) and HealthCare (-0.16%)

The country Allocation contributes for **1.05%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.89%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SPAIN with 1.07% and UK with 0.24%.
 - SPAIN represented, on average, 21.37% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 5.04% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.93%
 - UK represented, on average, 17.12% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 28.34% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.23%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are FRANCE with -0.11% and ITALY with -0.14%.
 - FRANCE represented, on average, 10.28% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 15.65% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.31%
 - ITALY represented, on average, 0.57% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.68% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.81%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in FINLAND (0.15%) and DENMARK (0.12%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in SPAIN (-0.45%) and FRANCE (-0.28%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ENDESA SA	0.08% (100%)	4.58% (100%)	9.63%	11.36% (2)	0.40%	Utilities	SPAIN
ABERTIS INFSTS.SA	0.12% (100%)	4.63% (100%)	9.10%	12.40% (2)	0.38%	Industrials	SPAIN
IBERDROLA SA	0.46% (100%)	4.37% (100%)	6.87%	11.48% (2)	0.25%	Utilities	SPAIN
BOUYGUES SA	0.13% (100%)	4.31% (100%)	4.91%	11.41% (2)	0.22%	Industrials	FRANCE
GAS NATURAL SDG SA	0.08% (100%)	3.27% (46%)	11.78%	12.24% (2)	0.21%	Utilities	SPAIN


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PL.	1.39% (100%)	0.00% (-)	6.55%	13.93% (4)	-0.09%	C.Goods	UK
BBV.ARGENTARIA SA	0.57% (100%)	0.00% (-)	17.68%	21.03% (8)	-0.09%	Financials	SPAIN
BNP PARIBAS	0.84% (100%)	0.00% (-)	13.24%	24.39% (9)	-0.10%	Financials	FRANCE
BANCO SANTANDER SA	1.00% (100%)	0.00% (-)	11.47%	22.00% (8)	-0.11%	Financials	SPAIN
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.09% (100%)	0.00% (-)	7.29%	24.23% (9)	-0.14%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

11.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVHDT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	4.21%	6.14%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	6.61%	8.00%
Vol. Reduction	17.42%	-
Max Drawdown	-2.71%	-2.00%
Sharpe Ratio	2.78	3.42
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.00%	0.69%
Correlation	0.88	-
Beta	0.73	-
Alpha	-0.24%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR D	4.12%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index is **4.21%** compared to **6.14%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-1.93%**

The EUR D share class of the ETF returned **4.12%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.25%** while the Stock Picking for **-1.68%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are C.Services with 0.29% and Materials with 0.09%.
 - C.Services represented, on average, 0.59% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 6.99% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.23%
 - Materials represented, on average, 7.24% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 8.19% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.27%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Technology with -0.25% and Telecom. with -0.36%.
 - Technology represented, on average, 0.18% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.81% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.97%
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 13.99% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.95% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 2.62%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in Industrials (0.18%) and HealthCare (0.06%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in Financials (-0.86%) and Materials (-0.51%)



The country Allocation contributes for **1.16%** while the Stock Picking for **-3.09%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SPAIN with 1.03% and UK with 0.20%.
 - SPAIN represented, on average, 18.94% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 4.91% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.61%

- UK represented, on average, 17.90% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 28.59% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.89%
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are GERMANY with -0.06% and FINLAND with -0.07%.
 - GERMANY represented, on average, 11.33% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 14.63% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 0.90%
 - FINLAND represented, on average, 6.29% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 1.65% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.28%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in DENMARK (0.18%) and ITALY (0.06%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in SWITZERLAND (-0.78%) and SPAIN (-0.61%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
ABERTIS INFSTS.SA	0.12% (100%)	4.54% (100%)	13.58%	11.34% (1)	0.55%	Industrials	SPAIN
BOUYGUES SA	0.13% (100%)	4.46% (100%)	12.07%	18.25% (5)	0.51%	Industrials	FRANCE
IBERDROLA SA	0.45% (100%)	3.68% (100%)	9.95%	12.79% (2)	0.41%	Utilities	SPAIN
ENDESA SA	0.08% (100%)	4.49% (100%)	9.47%	12.06% (1)	0.40%	Utilities	SPAIN
NOVARTIS AG	2.33% (100%)	4.18% (61%)	4.12%	14.19% (2)	0.35%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
SIEMENS AG	1.31% (100%)	0.00% (-)	13.26%	18.90% (5)	-0.16%	Industrials	GERMANY
K + S AG	0.06% (100%)	3.25% (100%)	-3.97%	24.32% (8)	-0.17%	Materials	GERMANY
BRITISH AMER.TO.B.PLC.	1.37% (100%)	0.00% (-)	17.08%	14.43% (2)	-0.22%	C.Goods	UK
SWISS RE AG	0.36% (100%)	3.67% (100%)	-6.66%	12.37% (1)	-0.22%	Financials	SWITZERLAND
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.04% (100%)	0.00% (-)	13.71%	18.72% (5)	-0.26%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

11.3 ITD: Period from 07-Nov-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	ISEMVHDT Index	SXXR Index
Performance	10.95%	15.16%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	7.21%	8.13%
Vol. Reduction	11.27%	-
Max Drawdown	-2.71%	-2.00%
Sharpe Ratio	4.23	5.34
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.00%	0.99%
Correlation	0.81	-
Beta	0.72	-
Alpha	0.10%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR D	10.78%	-



Performance statistics for the period 07-Nov-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 07-Nov-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index is **10.95%** compared to **15.16%** for the Stoxx Europe **600** Index NR, resulting in an underperformance of **-4.20%**

The EUR D share class of the ETF returned **10.78%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.93%** while the Stock Picking for **-3.32%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are C.Services with 0.40% and C.Goods with 0.30%.
 - C.Services represented, on average, 1.22% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 7.09% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.13%
 - C.Goods represented, on average, 7.57% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 18.22% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 1.12%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Telecom. with -0.60% and Utilities with -1.01%.
 - Telecom. represented, on average, 13.02% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.98% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.65%
 - Utilities represented, on average, 19.88% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.79% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this sector underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 6.59%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in Oil - Gas (0.25%) and Industrials (0.03%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in Financials (-2.15%)



and Materials (-0.40%)

The country Allocation contributes for **0.49%** while the Stock Picking for **-4.75%**.

- The main contributions to the country allocation are SPAIN with 0.81% and NORWAY with 0.24%.
 - SPAIN represented, on average, 17.21% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 4.87% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 3.57%
 - NORWAY represented, on average, 5.31% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 1.01% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR even if the country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.39%, the total contribution is positive due to efficient market timing.
- The worst contributions to the country allocation are ITALY with -0.19% and PORTUGAL with -0.27%.
 - ITALY represented, on average, 1.15% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 3.53% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country outperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 7.54%
 - PORTUGAL represented, on average, 0.39% in the iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index compared to 0.23% in the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR and this country underperformed the Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR by 4.00%

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a good stock picking in PORTUGAL (0.35%) and DENMARK (0.20%)

The iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index has a bad stock picking in SPAIN (-1.10%) and SWITZERLAND (-0.92%)



Excess Return over the period 07-Nov-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Net Return Index over Stoxx Europe 600 Index NR across Sector and Country.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
BOUYGUES SA	0.12% (100%)	4.55% (100%)	32.34%	18.53% (4)	1.31%	Industrials	FRANCE
ENDESA SA	0.08% (100%)	4.54% (100%)	20.69%	14.54% (2)	0.89%	Utilities	SPAIN
ABERTIS INFSTS.SA	0.11% (100%)	4.47% (100%)	14.22%	13.10% (1)	0.62%	Industrials	SPAIN
EVONIK INDUSTRIES AG	0.06% (100%)	3.23% (100%)	15.75%	18.22% (4)	0.57%	Materials	GERMANY
IBERDROLA SA	0.45% (100%)	2.73% (100%)	13.06%	15.11% (2)	0.45%	Utilities	SPAIN

Best performers in 07-Nov-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Country
NESTLE AG	2.76% (100%)	0.00% (-)	8.80%	12.43% (1)	-0.24%	C.Goods	SWITZERLAND
BANCO SANTANDER SA	0.95% (100%)	0.00% (-)	32.50%	24.27% (8)	-0.28%	Financials	SPAIN
BRITISH AMER.TOB.PLC.	1.35% (100%)	0.00% (-)	24.17%	15.37% (2)	-0.31%	C.Goods	UK
SIEMENS AG	1.27% (100%)	0.00% (-)	28.50%	18.44% (4)	-0.34%	Industrials	GERMANY
ROCHE HOLDING AG	2.04% (100%)	0.00% (-)	18.46%	19.74% (5)	-0.36%	HealthCare	SWITZERLAND

Worst performers in 07-Nov-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (SXXR Index) and the strategy (ISEMVHDT Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.


Chapter 12

Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index

This Report details the Brinson's performance attribution of the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index (CBKIGMRC Index) versus its benchmark MultiAsset 60/40 (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) over several periods of time: Both the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index and the MultiAsset 60/40 are computed in EUR. The calculation in this section are performed by Ossiam using Commerzbank/Solactive/Datastream source.

12.1 MTD: Period from 28-Feb-2017 to 31-Mar-2017

	CBKIGMRC Index	Eq60Gov40 Benchmark
Performance	0.94%	1.21%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	5.12%	4.09%
Vol. Reduction	-25.19%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.28%	-0.63%
Sharpe Ratio	2.33	3.81
Cum. Dividend Yield	-0.05%	0.00%
Correlation	0.94	-
Beta	1.17	-
Alpha	-0.49%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	0.84%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Feb-2017/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Feb-2017 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index is **0.94%** compared to **1.21%** for the MultiAsset **60/40**, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.28%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **0.84%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-0.15%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.07%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Western Treasury Bonds with 0.43% and Cash with 0.00%.
 - Western Treasury Bonds represented, on average, 9.16% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 39.47% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.39%
 - Cash represented, on average, 0.00% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.21%
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Alternative with -0.12% and Corporate Bonds with -0.30%.
 - Alternative represented, on average, 9.66% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.21%
 - Corporate Bonds represented, on average, 24.73% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.21%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in Emerging Markets (0.13%) and Western Equity (0.09%)



The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in Alternative (-0.19%) and Corporate Bonds (-0.10%)

The component Allocation contributes for **-0.07%** while the Stock Picking for **-0.16%**.

- The main contributions to the component allocation are ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND with 0.24% and ISHARES CORE UK GILTS with 0.13%.
 - ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND represented, on average, 0.00% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 13.13% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.80%
 - ISHARES CORE UK GILTS represented, on average, 0.00% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 13.18% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 0.95%
- The worst contributions to the component allocation are ISHARES CORE EURO CORP BOND with -0.12% and ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND with -0.18%.
 - ISHARES CORE EURO CORP BOND represented, on average, 9.91% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.21%
 - ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND represented, on average, 14.83% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 1.21%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in ISHARES MSCI EM (0.13%) and COMSTAGE ETF COMMERZ EONIA (0.00%)

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in COMSTAGE ETF COM X-AGRI TR-I (-0.19%) and ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND (-0.06%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017 for Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index over MultiAsset 60/40 across Sector and Stocks.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
ISHARES MSCI EM	0.00% (-)	5.80% (100%)	2.28%	11.11% (9)	0.13%	Em Ma	IDEM LN Eq
ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND	13.12% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-0.59%	3.57% (3)	0.08%	W Tr B	IEGA LN Eq
SPDR US TREASURY	13.17% (100%)	9.16% (100%)	-0.21%	3.51% (2)	0.01%	W Tr B	TRSY LN Eq
ISHARES CORE S&P 500	19.91% (100%)	18.92% (100%)	-0.06%	8.07% (6)	0.00%	W Eq	CSPX LN Eq
ISHARES CORE UK GILTS	13.18% (100%)	0.00% (-)	0.26%	4.71% (4)	-0.03%	W Tr B	IGLT LN Eq


Best performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
ISHARES CORE EURO CORP BOND	0.00% (-)	9.90% (100%)	-0.36%	2.48% (1)	-0.04%	Corp Bonds	IEAC LN Eq
ISHARES EURO STOXX50 UCITS D	20.49% (100%)	19.59% (100%)	5.58%	9.24% (8)	-0.06%	West Eq	SX5EEX GY Eq
ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND	0.00% (-)	14.83% (100%)	-0.40%	4.74% (5)	-0.06%	Corp Bonds	IHYU LN Eq
ISHARES CORE FTSE 100	20.13% (100%)	12.13% (100%)	0.89%	8.15% (7)	-0.07%	West Eq	ISF LN Eq
COMSTAGE ETF COM X-AGRI TR-I	0.00% (-)	9.66% (100%)	-1.88%	13.04% (10)	-0.19%	Alt	CBCOMM GY Eq

Worst performers in 28-Feb-2017 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

12.2 QTD: Period from 30-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	CBKIGMRC Index	Eq60Gov40 Benchmark
Performance	2.31%	3.13%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	3.18%	3.60%
Vol. Reduction	11.58%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.28%	-1.45%
Sharpe Ratio	3.12	3.75
Cum. Dividend Yield	-0.01%	0.00%
Correlation	0.72	-
Beta	0.63	-
Alpha	0.35%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	2.02%	-



Performance statistics for the period 30-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 30-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index is **2.31%** compared to **3.13%** for the MultiAsset **60/40**, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.82%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **2.02%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-1.47%** while the Stock Picking for **0.65%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Western Treasury Bonds with 0.81% and Emerging Markets with -0.08%.
 - Western Treasury Bonds represented, on average, 14.51% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 38.84% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.16%
 - Emerging Markets represented, on average, 2.14% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 even if the sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.13%, the total contribution is positive due to efficient market timing.
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Corporate Bonds with -0.59% and Cash with -0.80%.

- Corporate Bonds represented, on average, 18.03% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.13%
- Cash represented, on average, 27.24% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.13%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in Western Equity (0.35%) and Corporate Bonds (0.13%)



The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in Cash (-0.04%) and Alternative (0.01%)

The component Allocation contributes for **-1.02%** while the Stock Picking for **0.21%**.

- The main contributions to the component allocation are ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND with 0.63% and ISHARES EURO STOXX50 UCITS D with 0.16%.
 - ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND represented, on average, 0.00% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 12.88% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 4.74%
 - **ISHARES EURO STOXX50 UCITS D represented, on average, 7.22% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 20.73% in the MultiAsset 60/40 even if the component outperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.67%, the total contribution is positive due to efficient market timing.**
- The worst contributions to the component allocation are ISHARES CORE S&P 500 with -0.35% and ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND with -0.36%.
 - ISHARES CORE S&P 500 represented, on average, 13.90% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 20.05% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component outperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 2.44%
 - ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND represented, on average, 10.87% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.13%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND (0.14%) and ISHARES MSCI EM (0.11%)

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in COMSTAGE ETF COMMERZ EONIA (-0.01%) and ISHARES CORE EURO CORP BOND (-0.01%)



Excess Return over the period 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index over MultiAsset 60/40 across Sector and Stocks.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT B	12.88% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-1.62%	3.92% (6)	0.22%	W Tr B	IEGA LN Eq
ISHARES USD HY CORP B	0.00% (-)	10.93% (100%)	1.86%	3.15% (4)	0.14%	Corp B	IHYU LN Eq
ISHARES MSCI EM	0.00% (-)	5.80% (38%)	10.70%	9.00% (9)	0.11%	Em Mar	IDEM LN Eq
COMSTAGE ETF COM X-AGRI	0.00% (-)	7.25% (100%)	1.45%	11.69% (10)	0.01%	Alt	CBCOMM GY Eq
SPDR US TREASURY	12.95% (100%)	7.86% (100%)	0.09%	3.50% (5)	-0.01%	W Tr B	TRSY LN Eq


Best performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
COMSTAGE ETF COMMERZ EONIA	0.00% (-)	14.39% (62%)	-0.16%	0.03% (2)	-0.01%	Cash	CBEONIA GY Eq
ISHARES CORE UK GILTS	13.02% (100%)	10.57% (62%)	1.43%	6.13% (6)	-0.09%	West Tr Bonds	IGLT LN Eq
ISHARES EURO STOXX50 UCITS D	20.73% (100%)	19.57% (38%)	6.80%	9.81% (9)	-0.24%	West Eq	SX5EEX GY Eq
ISHARES CORE FTSE 100	20.37% (100%)	9.79% (100%)	3.30%	7.20% (8)	-0.36%	West Eq	ISF LN Eq
ISHARES CORE S&P 500	20.05% (100%)	13.97% (100%)	5.57%	6.57% (7)	-0.53%	West Eq	CSPX LN Eq

Worst performers in 30-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

12.3 ITD: Period from 28-Dec-2016 to 31-Mar-2017

	CBKIGMRC Index	Eq60Gov40 Benchmark
Performance	2.43%	3.31%
Ann. Performance	-	-
Ann. Volatility	3.15%	3.54%
Vol. Reduction	11.11%	-
Max Drawdown	-1.28%	-1.45%
Sharpe Ratio	3.25	3.95
Cum. Dividend Yield	0.06%	0.00%
Correlation	0.72	-
Beta	0.64	-
Alpha	0.35%	-
Perf. ETF Class EUR	2.13%	-



Performance statistics for the period 28-Dec-2016/31-Mar-2017. Some degree of performance difference between the ETF and the underlying index is to be expected as a result of index replication costs and tracking error. Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.

For the period 28-Dec-2016 - 31-Mar-2017, the performance of the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index is **2.43%** compared to **3.31%** for the MultiAsset **60/40**, resulting in an underperformance of **-0.88%**

The EUR share class of the ETF returned **2.13%**

The sector Allocation contributes for **-1.58%** while the Stock Picking for **0.63%**.

- The main contributions to the sector allocation are Western Treasury Bonds with 0.80% and Emerging Markets with -0.08%.
 - Western Treasury Bonds represented, on average, 14.60% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 38.85% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.08%
 - Emerging Markets represented, on average, 2.08% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 even if the sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.31%, the total contribution is positive due to efficient market timing.
- The worst contributions to the sector allocation are Corporate Bonds with -0.62% and Cash with -0.88%.

- Corporate Bonds represented, on average, 17.91% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.31%
- Cash represented, on average, 27.72% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this sector underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.31%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in Western Equity (0.31%) and Western Treasury Bonds (0.14%)



The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in Cash (-0.04%) and Alternative (-0.01%)

The component Allocation contributes for **-1.13%** while the Stock Picking for **0.18%**.

- The main contributions to the component allocation are ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND with 0.67% and SPDR US TREASURY with 0.14%.
 - ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND represented, on average, 0.00% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 12.89% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 5.06%
 - SPDR US TREASURY represented, on average, 7.82% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 12.94% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 2.85%
- The worst contributions to the component allocation are LYX ETF EURO CASH with -0.29% and ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND with -0.37%.
 - LYX ETF EURO CASH represented, on average, 9.24% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.31%
 - ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND represented, on average, 10.80% in the Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index compared to 0.00% in the MultiAsset 60/40 and this component underperformed the MultiAsset 60/40 by 3.31%

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a good stock picking in ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND (0.14%) and ISHARES MSCI EM (0.11%)

The Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index has a bad stock picking in ISHARES CORE EURO CORP BOND (-0.02%) and COMSTAGE ETF COMMERZ EONIA (-0.02%)



Excess Return over the period 28-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017 for Global Multi Asset Risk Control Index over MultiAsset 60/40 across Sector and Stocks.

Top5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
ISHARES CORE EURO GOVT BOND	12.89% (100%)	0.00% (-)	-1.75%	3.94% (6)	0.23%	W Tr B	IEGA LN Eq
ISHARES USD HY CORP BOND	0.00% (-)	10.86% (100%)	1.89%	3.10% (4)	0.14%	Corp B	IHYU LN Eq
ISHARES MSCI EM	0.00% (-)	5.80% (37%)	12.14%	8.98% (9)	0.11%	Em Mar	IDEM LN Eq
LYX ETF EURO CASH	0.00% (-)	14.40% (63%)	-0.12%	0.06% (2)	-0.01%	Cash	CSH FP Eq
DBX II EONIA CASH 1C	0.00% (-)	14.40% (63%)	-0.13%	0.02% (1)	-0.01%	Cash	XEON GY Eq

Best performers in 28-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

Bottom5	Avg WB (Dur)	Avg WS (Dur)	Return	Vol(dcl.)	ΔPnL	Sector	Stocks
SPDR US TREASURY	12.95% (100%)	7.84% (100%)	0.46%	3.49% (5)	-0.03%	West Tr Bonds	TRSY LN Eq
ISHARES CORE UK GILTS	13.02% (100%)	10.57% (63%)	2.01%	6.20% (6)	-0.11%	West Tre Bonds	IGLT LN Eq
ISHARES EURO STOXX50 UCITS D	20.73% (100%)	19.57% (37%)	7.19%	9.73% (9)	-0.32%	West Eq	SX5EEX GY Eq
ISHARES CORE FTSE 100	20.37% (100%)	9.74% (100%)	3.83%	7.12% (8)	-0.42%	West Equity	ISF LN Eq
ISHARES CORE S&P 500	20.04% (100%)	13.88% (100%)	5.00%	6.57% (7)	-0.49%	West Eq	CSPX LN Eq

Worst performers in 28-Dec-2016 / 31-Mar-2017. Avg WB and Avg WS show respectively the average weight over the period of the benchmark (Eq60Gov40 Benchmark) and the strategy (CBKIGMRC Index). The column Return shows the stock performance over the period, and the column Vol(dcl) lists the annualized volatility of the stock over the period together with the volatility decile with respect to the benchmark universe. ΔPnL gives the contribution of the stock to the Strategy excess return over the period.

DISCLAIMERS

This document is of a commercial and not of a regulatory nature.

Ossiam Lux (the SICAV) is organized as an investment company with variable capital under the laws of the Grand-Duchy of Luxembourg and was approved by the Luxembourg market authority CSSF on 22/04/2011 under the Visa 2011/74085-7020-0-PC. Prior to May, 20, 2016, NGAM SA acted as management company of the SICAV. Effective as of May, 20, 2016, the SICAV has appointed Ossiam as its management company, responsible for the investment management, administration and distribution of the SICAV. The management company has appointed State Street Luxembourg as Administrative Agent, Paying Agent, Domiciliary and Corporate Agent, Registrar and Transfer Agent of the SICAV.

Ossiam, a subsidiary of Natixis Global Asset Management, is a French asset manager authorized by the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (Agreement No. GP-10000016). Although information contained herein is from sources believed to be reliable, Ossiam makes no representation or warranty regarding the accuracy of any information of which it is not the source. The information presented in this document is based on market data at a given moment and may change from time to time.

This material has been prepared solely for informational purposes only and it is not intended to be and should not be considered as an offer, or a solicitation of an offer, or an invitation or a personal recommendation to buy or sell participating shares in any Ossiam Fund, or any security or financial instrument, or to participate in any investment strategy, directly or indirectly. It is intended for use only by those recipients to whom it is made directly available by Ossiam. Ossiam will not treat recipients of this material as its clients by virtue of their receiving this material.

This material reflects the views and opinions of the individual authors at this date and in no way the official position or advices of any kind of these authors or of Ossiam and thus does not engage the responsibility of Ossiam nor of any of its officers or employees. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. Any opinions expressed herein are statements of our judgment on this date and are subject to change without notice. Ossiam assume no fiduciary responsibility or liability for any consequences, financial or otherwise, arising from, an investment in any security or financial instrument described herein or in any other security, or from the implementation of any investment strategy. This information contained herein is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any country or jurisdiction where to do so would be contrary to law or regulation or which would subject Ossiam to any registration requirements in these jurisdictions.

The risks associated with the Fund and the Index are detailed in the “General Risk Considerations” section of the Prospectus, available in English on www.ossiam.com. Investments decision should only be made on the basis of the Prospectus. Investors should also be well informed about the main characteristics of the Index (number of stocks, sector and or country breakdown, past evolution...) in order to have an overview of the risks and opportunities related to an exposure to the Index.

The STOXX® Indices and the data composed therein (the Index Data) are the intellectual property (including registered trademarks) of STOXX Limited, Zurich, Switzerland (STOXX) and/or its licensors (the STOXX Licensors). The use of the Index Data requires a license from STOXX. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors do not make any warranties or representations, express or implied with respect to the timeliness, sequency, accuracy, completeness, currentness, merchantability, quality or fitness for any particular purpose of the Index Data. In particular, the inclusion of a company in a STOXX® Index does not in any way reflect an opinion of STOXX or the STOXX Licensors on the merits of that company. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors are not providing investment, tax or other professional advice through the publication of the STOXX® Indices or in connection there with STOXX and its licensors have no relationship to Ossiam, other than the licensing of the iSTOXX® Europe Minimum Variance Index and the related trademarks for use in connection with OSSIAM ETF indexed to the Index.

STOXX and its Licensors do not sponsor, endorse, sell or promote the OSSIAM UCITS ETF iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance Index NR.

The STOXX® Indices and the data comprised therein (the Index Data) are the intellectual property (including registered trademarks) of STOXX Limited, Zurich, Switzerland (STOXX) and/or its licensors (the STOXX Licensors). The use of the Index Data requires a license from STOXX. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors do not make any warranties or representations, express or implied with respect to the timeliness, sequency, accuracy, completeness, currentness, merchantability, quality or fitness for any particular purpose of the Index Data. In particular, the inclusion of a company in a STOXX® Index does not in any way reflect an opinion of STOXX or the STOXX Licensors on the merits of that company. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors are not providing investment, tax or other professional advice through the publication of the STOXX® Indices or in connection therewith. STOXX and its licensors (the Licensors) have no relationship to the Ossiam, other than the licensing of the STOXX® Europe 600 Equal Weight Index NR and the related trademarks for use in connection with the OSSIAM STOXX® EUROPE 600 EQUAL WEIGHT NR. STOXX and its Licensors do not sponsor, endorse, sell or promote the OSSIAM UCITS ETF STOXX® EUROPE 600 EQUAL WEIGHT NR.

Ossiam US Minimum Variance Index Net Return USD (the Index) is the exclusive property of Ossiam, which has contracted with Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P) to maintain and calculate the Index. Standard &

Poor's® and S&P® are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC - Calculated by S&P Custom Indices- and its related stylized mark(s) are service marks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC and have been licensed for use by Ossiam. S&P and its affiliates shall have no liability for any errors or omissions in calculating the Index. OSSIAM US MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P, its affiliates or their third party licensors and neither S&P, its affiliates nor their third party licensors make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in OSSIAM US MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF.

All rights in the FTSE 100 Minimum Variance TR Index (the Index) vest in FTSE International Limited (FTSE). FTSE® is a trade mark of the London Stock Exchange Plc and The Financial Times Limited and is used by FTSE under license. The OSSIAM FTSE 100 MINIMUM VARIANCE has been developed solely by OSSIAM. The Index is calculated by FTSE or its agent. FTSE and its licensors are not connected to and do not sponsor, advise, recommend, endorse or promote the OSSIAM FTSE 100 MINIMUM VARIANCE and do not accept any liability whatsoever to any person arising out of (a) the use of, reliance on or any error in the Index or (b) investment in or operation of the OSSIAM FTSE 100 MINIMUM VARIANCE. FTSE makes no claim, prediction, warranty or representation either as to the results to be obtained from the OSSIAM FTSE 100 MINIMUM VARIANCE UCITS ETF or the suitability of the Index for the purpose to which it is being put by OSSIAM.

Ossiam Emerging Markets Minimum Variance Index NR (the Index) is the exclusive property of Ossiam, which has contracted with Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P) to maintain and calculate the Index. Standard & Poor's® and S&P® are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC - Calculated by S&P Custom Indices- and its related stylized mark(s) are service marks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC and have been licensed for use by Ossiam. S&P and its affiliates shall have no liability for any errors or omissions in calculating the Index. OSSIAM EMERGING MARKETS MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P, its affiliates or their third party licensors and neither S&P, its affiliates nor their third party licensors make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in OSSIAM EMERGING MARKETS MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF.

In order to minimize any potential for conflicts caused by the fact that Ossiam determines the weight of the index components at each rebalancing date (and acts as asset manager, Ossiam has retained unaffiliated third parties to calculate and publish index, namely S&P.

Ossiam World Minimum Variance Index NR (the Index) is the exclusive property of Ossiam, which has contracted with Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P) to maintain and calculate the Index. Standard & Poor's® and S&P® are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC - Calculated by S&P Custom Indices- and its related stylized mark(s) are service marks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC and have been licensed for use by Ossiam. S&P and its affiliates shall have no liability for any errors or omissions in calculating the Index. OSSIAM WORLD MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P, its affiliates or their third party licensors and neither S&P, its affiliates nor their third party licensors make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in OSSIAM WORLD MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF.

In order to minimize any potential for conflicts caused by the fact that Ossiam determines the weight of the index components at each rebalancing date (and acts as asset manager, Ossiam has retained unaffiliated third parties to calculate and publish index, namely S&P.

Barclays Bank PLC and its affiliates (Barclays) is not the issuer or producer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR and Barclays has no responsibilities, obligations or duties to investors in Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR except in connection with their distribution pursuant to an agreement with Ossiam and NGAM S.A.. The Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value Net TR index is a trademark owned by Barclays Bank PLC or Barclays Capital Inc., and licensed for use by Ossiam Lux as the Issuer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR UCITS ETF 1C(EUR). While Ossiam Lux as the Issuer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR may for itself execute transaction(s) with Barclays in or relating to the Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value Net TR index in connection with Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR investors acquire Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR from Ossiam Lux and investors neither acquire any interest in Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value Net TR index nor enter into any relationship of any kind whatsoever with Barclays upon making an investment in Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR. The Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Barclays and Barclays makes no representation regarding the advisability of the Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value TR or use of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value Net TR index or any data included therein except in connection with their distribution pursuant to an agreement with Ossiam and NGAM S.A.. Barclays shall not be liable in any way to the Issuer, investors or to other third parties in respect of the use or accuracy of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® Europe Sector Value Net TR index or any data included therein. The Shiller Barclays CAPE® Index Family has been developed in part by RSBB-I,

LLC, the research principal of which is Robert J. Shiller. RSBB-I, LLC is not an investment advisor, and does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® Index Family, or any data or methodology either included therein or upon which it is based. Neither RSBB-I, LLC nor Robert J. Shiller shall have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions therein, and makes no warranties, express or implied, as to performance or results experienced by any party from the use of any information included therein or upon which it is based, and expressly disclaims all warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect thereto, and shall not be liable for any claims or losses of any nature in connection with the use of such information, including but not limited to, lost profits or punitive or consequential damages, even if RSBB-I, LLC is advised of the possibility of same.

Barclays Bank PLC and its affiliates ("Barclays") is not the issuer or producer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR and Barclays has no responsibilities, obligations or duties to investors in Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR except in connection with their distribution pursuant to an agreement with Ossiam and NGAM S.A.. The Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value Net TR index is a trademark owned by Barclays Bank PLC or Barclays Capital Inc., and licensed for use by Ossiam Lux as the Issuer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR. While Ossiam Lux as the Issuer of Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR may for itself execute transaction(s) with Barclays in or relating to the Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value Net TR index in connection with Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR investors acquire Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR from Ossiam Lux and investors neither acquire any interest in Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value Net TR index nor enter into any relationship of any kind whatsoever with Barclays upon making an investment in Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR. The Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by Barclays and Barclays makes no representation regarding the advisability of the Ossiam Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value TR or use of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value Net TR index or any data included therein except in connection with their distribution pursuant to an agreement with Ossiam and NGAM S.A.. Barclays shall not be liable in any way to the Issuer, investors or to other third parties in respect of the use or accuracy of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® US Sector Value Net TR index or any data included therein.

The Shiller Barclays CAPE® Index Family has been developed in part by RSBB-I, LLC, the research principal of which is Robert J. Shiller. RSBB-I, LLC is not an investment advisor, and does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the Shiller Barclays CAPE® Index Family, or any data or methodology either included therein or upon which it is based. Neither RSBB-I, LLC nor Robert J. Shiller shall have any liability for any errors, omissions, or interruptions therein, and makes no warranties, express or implied, as to performance or results experienced by any party from the use of any information included therein or upon which it is based, and expressly disclaims all warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect thereto, and shall not be liable for any claims or losses of any nature in connection with the use of such information, including but not limited to, lost profits or punitive or consequential damages, even if RSBB-I, LLC is advised of the possibility of same.

Japan Minimum Variance Index Net Return JPY (the Index) is the property of Ossiam, which has contracted with S&P Opco, LLC (a subsidiary of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC) to calculate and maintain the Index. The Index is not sponsored by S&P Dow Jones Indices or its affiliates or its third party licensors (collectively, S&P Dow Jones Indices). S&P Dow Jones Indices will not be liable for any errors or omissions in calculating the Index. Calculated by S&P Dow Jones Indices and the related stylized mark(s) are service marks of S&P Dow Jones Indices and have been licensed for use by Ossiam. S&P® is a registered trademark of Standard & Poor Financial Services LLC (SPFS), and Dow Jones® is a registered trademark of Dow Jones Trademark Holdings LLC (Dow Jones). OSSIAM JAPAN MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF is not sponsored, endorsed, sold or promoted by S&P, its affiliates or their third party licensors and neither S&P, its affiliates nor their third party licensors make any representation regarding the advisability of investing in OSSIAM JAPAN MINIMUM VARIANCE NR UCITS ETF.

The financial instrument is not sponsored, promoted, sold or supported in any other manner by Solactive AG nor does Solactive AG offer any express or implicit guarantee or assurance either with regard to the results of using the Index and/or Index trade mark or the Index Price at any time or in any other respect. The Index is calculated and published by Solactive AG. Solactive AG uses its best efforts to ensure that the Index is calculated correctly. Irrespective of its obligations towards the Issuer, Solactive AG has no obligation to point out errors in the Index to third parties including but not limited to investors and/or financial intermediaries of the financial instrument. Neither publication of the Index by Solactive AG nor the licensing of the Index or Index trade mark for the purpose of use in connection with the financial instrument constitutes a recommendation by Solactive AG to invest capital in said financial instrument nor does it in any way represent an assurance or opinion of Solactive AG with regard to any investment in this financial instrument

The STOXX® Indices and the data composed therein (the Index Data) are the intellectual property (including registered trademarks) of STOXX Limited, Zurich, Switzerland (STOXX) and/or its licensors (the STOXX Licensors). The use of the Index Data requires a license from STOXX. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors do not make any warranties or representations, express or implied with respect to the timeliness, sequency, accuracy, completeness, currentness, merchantability, quality or fitness for any particular purpose of the Index Data. In particular, the inclusion of a company in a STOXX® Index does not in any way reflect an opinion of STOXX or the STOXX Licensors on the

merits of that company. STOXX and the STOXX Licensors are not providing investment, tax or other professional advice through the publication of the STOXX® Indices or in connection there with STOXX and its licensors have no relationship to Ossiam, other than the licensing of the iSTOXX® Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Index and the related trademarks for use in connection with OSSIAM ETF indexed to the Index.

STOXX and its Licensors do not sponsor, endorse, sell or promote the OSSIAM UCITS ETF iStoxx Europe Minimum Variance High Dividend Index NR.

The Index is sponsored by Commerzbank AG and is calculated and published by Solactive AG. The financial instrument is not sponsored, promoted, sold or supported in any other manner by neither Commerzbank AG nor Solactive AG.

This material may not be distributed, published, or reproduced, in whole or in part.